

17) Participant NKH Legyugyi Hivatal (Aviation Authority of the National Transport Authority)

This organisation is responsible for the authority control regarding the complete field of aviation in Hungary and the ATC in the upper airspace in Kosovo.

The Authority is subordinated to the National Transport Authority (NTA) and the Ministry of National Development with 155 colleagues.

The president of the NTA is Mr. Gyula GYŐRI (former head of the Aviation Authority) and he is also the Director General of Civil Aviation of Hungary. The head of the Aviation Authority is Mr. András FARKAS deputy president. He is the Legal Signatory representative of the Authority in this project.

18) Participant M-NAV

Macedonian Air Navigation Service Provider (M-NAV) is a company to which the Macedonian State delegates the management and control of civilian and military air traffic in Macedonia. M-NAV is a result of the division of the Civil Aviation Agency into a State-Controlled Enterprise MNAV and Regulatory Body which remain as Civil Aviation Agency.

The Civil Aviation Agency continues its work as appointed National Supervisory Authority and Regulator in charge with the Civil Aviation matters in the Republic of Macedonia.

The company "M-NAV" PCL, was established for an indefinite time period. According to Article 60 of the Aviation Act, the Government of the Republic of Macedonia established the "M-NAV", Macedonian Air Navigation Service Provider, PCL, Joint Stock Company 100% owned by the State (Government) for performing the activity - Provider of air navigation services. The Government, acting as a Shareholder Assembly, on its Session of the Shareholder Meeting held on 02.06.2009 adopted the Statute of "M-NAV" PCL (Official Gazette of RM No. 80/09) and "M-NAV" PCL was registered in the Central Register of the Republic of Macedonia on 04.06.2009. The new entity "M-NAV" PCL officially started with its operation on 1st of July 2009 applying the administrative model of management and self-financing system. It is the only designated ANS Service Provider in the airspace of the Republic of Macedonia. The Certification of "M-NAV" by the Civil Aviation Agency of the Republic of Macedonia was being under process all in accordance with the Regulation (EC) 550/2004 and 2096/2006 is finalized in March 2010. "M-NAV" PCL obtained the Certificate MK-01/2010 for the competence in providing Air Navigation Services issued on 10 March 2010 by the Civil Aviation Agency of the Republic of Macedonia. In March 2012 "M-NAV" PCL obtained the second Certificate MK-01/2012/ANSP for the competence in providing Air Navigation Services. Subject to continuous compliance with the prescribed requirements for provision of air navigation services, which may be verified by the Civil Aviation Agency any time, these Certificates are issued for two years period.

The Statute of M-NAV is governing: the firm and the headquarters of the company, the trade mark, seal and stamp, the subject of the operation of the company, the amount of the core capital, the nominal value of shares, the number of shares, positions, rights and restrictions of the shareholder, liabilities, restrictions and benefits, the procedure for convening and holding of the assembly, company, headquarters, the type, the composition and the manner of selection of the Management Board, Supervisory Board and their responsibilities, internal

organization, the form and manner of publications that the Company undertakes as well as other issues of importance to the company.

MNAV is a member of the international ATM (Air Traffic Management) system and therefore participates in research and development activities in coordination with the international control bodies for the sector such as ICAO, EUROCONTROL

“M-NAV” Scope of operations

According to the Article 7 of the Statute of “M-NAV” PCL (Official Gazette of RM No. 80/09), providing Air Navigation Services as a prior activity of the company covers:

1. Air traffic management - including:
 - a. Air traffic services:- Air traffic control is given to the aircraft through the issuing of authorizations and instructions of the competent air traffic control to allow safe, regular and rapid flow of the air traffic
 - Flight information service which is given through the issue of information relevant to the safe flow of air traffic; and
 - Alerting services is given to an aircraft that requires a search and rescue through the reporting and coordination of relevant actors
 - b. Air Traffic flow management is given to enable maximum absorption capacity of air traffic control and the volume of traffic
 - c. Air Space management – to enable maximum flexibility and utilization of the air space for all users.
2. Aeronautical Information Services –includes: collection, processing and distribution of the aeronautical information, emergency notifications, aeronautical information circular, air interfaces and flight processes and procedures.
3. Meteorological Services which include collection, processing and distribution of the meteorological information, weather forecasts, and weather alerts and weather warnings;
4. Installation, maintenance, control and monitoring of technical tools, devices and equipment for the provision of air navigation services; and
5. Keeping records of collection of fees from over flights.
6. ANS Personnel training

Type of Governance

In accordance with the Macedonian Law on Trade Enterprises and for the enhanced control over the operation, the two tier system of governance is adopted for the Joint Stock Company “M-NAV” which prescribes having both, Supervisory Board and Managing Board as governing bodies. The Managing Board reports directly to the Supervisory Board.

The Rights and Responsibilities of the Shareholding Assembly as set out in the Statute for the establishment of “M-NAV” are carried out by the Government of the Republic of Macedonia.

The Government decides on passing on and amending the Statute of the company, approval of annual accounts, financial statements and annual report on the work of the company in the previous business year, increasing and decreasing the basic capital of the company, issuing shares and other securities, appointing a certified auditor for auditing the annual accounts and

financial statements; transformation of the corporation in another form of undertaking, as well as status changes of the corporation, termination of the company and decides to cover losses etc.

The Managing Board is composed of 3 members. One member of the Managing Board that manages, organizes and is responsible for the provision of air navigation services is Executive Director of Air Navigation Services. The second member of the Managing Board that manages, organizes and is responsible for technical assets in the air navigation is Executive Director for Systems. This one is elected by the Supervisory Board also as a President of the Managing Board on the date:12.05.2009 and currently holds the same position. The third one member of the Managing Board that manages, organizes and is responsible for the financial operations, legal operations, development and investment activities is Executive Director of Financial and Legal issues, Development and Investments.

Members of the Managing Board are elected for a term of 4 years with the right of re-election. The rights and responsibilities of the Managing Board are defined in the Statute of "M-NAV".

The total of 265 employees is currently in M-NAV.

19) Participant Civil Aviation Authority of the Republic of Kosovo - CAAK

Our vision

To be credible and accepted globally as a civil aviation regulator and oversight authority of a high level, ranking ahead of others in the region and beyond with regards to aviation safety.

Our mission

To create a civil aviation environment in accordance with international standards, through continuous monitoring, partnership with the industry and a high level of professional staff competence.

About us

Civil Aviation Authority of the Republic of Kosovo (CAAK) was established under Law No. 03/L-051 on Civil Aviation as an independent civil aviation regulatory agency. CAAK is responsible for the regulation of civil aviation safety and the economic regulation of airports and air navigation services in the Republic of Kosovo. Civil Aviation activities in Kosovo air space are carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Law on Civil Aviation, the Convention on International Civil Aviation of 7 December 1944, and the Agreement on the Establishment of a European Common Aviation Area. Kosovo has the appropriate legislative framework for aviation and the oversight of aviation activities. Regulations and requirements are constantly being developed to align Kosovo's aviation legislation and procedures with international requirements such as the Standards and Recommended Practices of the International Civil Aviation Organization and, particularly with EU aviation acquis as part of our obligations under European Common Aviation Agreement, to which Kosovo is a party.

Policies and guidance materials both for the industry and for CAAK staff are developed for enabling ease of understanding and compliance with the regulations and standards. The cornerstone of aviation safety operations is the implementation of Safety Management Systems by all operators from aerodromes to airlines to providers of air traffic services and maintenance organizations. CAAK is committed to achieving the highest possible safety level

in Kosovo. CAAK has enforcement mandate for achieving compliance with the regulations and standards.

As Civil Aviation Regulators we:

Implement civil aviation legislation and giving effect to policies adopted by the Ministry of Transport and Communications (the Ministry) or the Government in the field of civil aviation;

Provide advice and proposals to the Ministry, the Government and the Assembly regarding policies and legislation for the civil aviation sector;

Issue implementing regulations and air navigation orders, in accordance with the Law on Civil Aviation and the ECAA Agreement, to implement civil aviation policies adopted by the Ministry and relevant legislation related to civil aviation;

Issue licenses, certificates and permits in accordance with the CAAK's competencies and responsibilities;

Regulate the safety of air transportation in the Republic of Kosovo;

Regulate the economics of airports and air navigation services;

Provide advice to the Minister in connection with the Minister's development of proposed policies for the use of Kosovo airspace that meet the needs of users, taking into account national security, economic and environmental factors, and the need for a high standard of safety;

Supervise and ensure effective implementation of civil aviation legislation, standards, rules, procedures and orders, except where the Law on Civil Aviation or another primary normative act specifically and exclusively assigns such a function to the Ministry or another public authority;

Disseminate information to the public about matters relevant to the functions and activities of the CAAK;

Perform such acts, conduct such investigations and inspections, and issue such orders, rules, regulations, and/or procedures as CAAK may deem reasonably necessary to properly and lawfully (i) implement the applicable provisions of the Law on Civil Aviation or (ii) discharge the powers and duties assigned to CAAK by the Law on Civil Aviation;

Perform any other functions pertaining to civil aviation in Kosovo assigned to CAAK by the Law on Civil Aviation or another primary normative act.

People to be involved

Staff from the CAA's ANS Department, consisting of 3 ANS inspectors and other CAA on need basis.

2.3.4.2. Third parties involved

Only the participant Hungaro Control uses third parties, as shown below.

Does the participant plan to subcontract certain tasks (please note that core tasks of the action should not be sub-contracted)	Y
<i>Possible flight trials at regional airport – under the supervision of NSA and in cooperation with HC experts</i>	
Does the participant envisage that part of its work is performed by linked third parties	Y
<i>One or two regional airports - to be involved as soon as the project receive go decision</i>	
Does the participant envisage the use of contributions in kind provided by third parties (Articles 11 and 12 of the General Model Grant Agreement)	N

2.3.5. Planned use of resources

Equipment resources

A number of items belonging to partners will be utilised during the training sessions, guided exercises and Workshop, as explained in detail in each WPD.

Around 8% of the budget is allocated to management (WP1000) of the consortium and around less than 8% to dissemination activities (WP4000). Personnel costs are related to the effort declared by the partners and presented in the previous table. The only other cost items identified are travel costs, dissemination related costs and cost for Certificates on financial statements, if necessary. Three different types of travel needs are identified. Travel for management matters (e.g. attendance to the project Kick-Off Meeting, Progress Meetings), travel related to the technical purposes in WP2000 and WP3000 (e.g. attendance to technical meetings, training sessions, guided exercises, workshops, events, etc) and travel related to dissemination activities (e.g. Final User Forum, participation in H2020 workshops or other events requested by GSA).

A specific budget is foreseen for these activities, including:

- Organisation of the Final Project Workshop for participants in other H2020 projects
- Organisation of the Technical Trainings and Workshops in WP2000
- Organisation of the Events and Workshops in WP3000
- Design and printing of project brochure to show the project findings to the community
- To establish a website with the outcomes of BEYOND, including a public a private site.

‘Other direct cost’

Participant Number	Other direct cost	Cost (€)	Justification
1	Travel	28800	For ESSP as coordinator, travel is a significant cost
1	Equipment	28800	Organisation of conferences and fora by ESSP
2	Travel	23000	GMV has a large amount of travel to Eastern European countries. Travels and allowances: 23.000 € (23 trips are envisaged)

2	Equipment	20400	<p>Logistics (organize training sessions / workshops at GMV premises): 1.500 €</p> <p>Logistics (send HW equipment to ANSPs to support the guided exercises, e.g. srx-10i for interference monitoring & magicLPV for flight trials): 3.100 €</p> <p>Rent a GPS/Galileo real-time station in Eastern Europe (over 1 year) to support the future scenarios guided exercises: 10.000 €</p> <p>Purchase HW items to prepare a portable srx-10i and magicLPV equipment to support the interference monitoring, flight validation and future exercises guided exercises: 5.800 € (note that only part of the purchase price of the HW –the depreciation cost over the project– has been considered)</p>																																																																				
3	Travel	48040	TPZ has a large travel costs for workshops in North Africa																																																																				
4	Travel	19600	Moldatsa has low staff cost, so travel is disproportionately large																																																																				
5	Travel	9000	Montenegro has low staff cost, so travel is disproportionately large																																																																				
6	Travel	31600	<p>Travel costs breakdown for HungaroControl: Trainings = 2000€*7</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>EGNOS SP FRAMEWORK</td> <td>EU-NON EU service provision framework EGNOS Working Agreement EU-NON EU Civil Aviation regulatory framework, etc.</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">PBN Strategy</td> <td>Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios selection PBN specifications PBN Strategy definition National Roll-out Plan</td> <td rowspan="2">Budapest</td> <td rowspan="2">2000</td> <td rowspan="2">2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part II EGNOS service improvement assessment E-GNSS scenario and advanced PBN specifications</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LPV Procedure Design</td> <td>LPV Procedure design</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">LPV Safety Assessment</td> <td>Safety assessment of LPV procedures</td> <td rowspan="2">Madrid</td> <td rowspan="2">2000</td> <td rowspan="2">2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part I SBAS Service Performance assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation</td> <td>Part II Ground validation of LPV Procedure</td> <td rowspan="2">Madrid</td> <td rowspan="2">2000</td> <td rowspan="2">2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part I Flight validation/inspection of LPV Procedures</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Future scenarios</td> <td>Part I Emulated EGNOS improved scenario Emulated Future E-GNSS scenario</td> <td rowspan="3">Madrid</td> <td rowspan="3">2000</td> <td rowspan="3">2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part II Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part III Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures</td> </tr> </table> <p>Workshops = 800€*7</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td rowspan="2">PBN Strategy</td> <td>PBN Strategy National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan</td> <td rowspan="2">Podgorica</td> <td rowspan="2">800</td> <td rowspan="2">1 day 1 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LPV Procedure Design</td> <td>LPV Procedure design</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>800</td> <td>1 day 1 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">LPV Safety Assessment</td> <td>Safety assessment of LPV procedures</td> <td rowspan="2">Budapest</td> <td rowspan="2">800</td> <td rowspan="2">1 day 1 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Country level SBAS performances assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment Ground validation of LPV procedure</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation</td> <td>Part I Ground validation of LPV procedure</td> <td rowspan="2">Madrid</td> <td rowspan="2">800</td> <td rowspan="2">1 day 1 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part II Flight validation/inspection of LPV Procedures</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Future scenarios</td> <td>Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures</td> <td rowspan="2">Madrid</td> <td rowspan="2">800</td> <td rowspan="2">1 day 1 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures</td> </tr> </table> <p>Final User Forum</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Final User Forum</td> <td>Final workshop at the end of the project - main dissemination event</td> <td>Prague</td> <td>800</td> <td>1 day 1 people</td> </tr> </table> <p>+ 12000€ for rent the services of an aircraft for flight trials</p>	EGNOS SP FRAMEWORK	EU-NON EU service provision framework EGNOS Working Agreement EU-NON EU Civil Aviation regulatory framework, etc.	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	PBN Strategy	Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios selection PBN specifications PBN Strategy definition National Roll-out Plan	Budapest	2000	2 days 2 people	Part II EGNOS service improvement assessment E-GNSS scenario and advanced PBN specifications	LPV Procedure Design	LPV Procedure design	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	Part I SBAS Service Performance assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment	GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Part II Ground validation of LPV Procedure	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	Part I Flight validation/inspection of LPV Procedures	Future scenarios	Part I Emulated EGNOS improved scenario Emulated Future E-GNSS scenario	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	Part II Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Part III Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	PBN Strategy	PBN Strategy National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan	Podgorica	800	1 day 1 people	LPV Procedure Design	LPV Procedure design	Madrid	800	1 day 1 people	LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Budapest	800	1 day 1 people	Country level SBAS performances assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment Ground validation of LPV procedure	GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Part I Ground validation of LPV procedure	Madrid	800	1 day 1 people	Part II Flight validation/inspection of LPV Procedures	Future scenarios	Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Madrid	800	1 day 1 people	Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Final User Forum	Final workshop at the end of the project - main dissemination event	Prague	800	1 day 1 people
EGNOS SP FRAMEWORK	EU-NON EU service provision framework EGNOS Working Agreement EU-NON EU Civil Aviation regulatory framework, etc.	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																			
PBN Strategy	Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios selection PBN specifications PBN Strategy definition National Roll-out Plan	Budapest	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																			
	Part II EGNOS service improvement assessment E-GNSS scenario and advanced PBN specifications																																																																						
LPV Procedure Design	LPV Procedure design	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																			
LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																			
	Part I SBAS Service Performance assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment																																																																						
GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Part II Ground validation of LPV Procedure	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																			
	Part I Flight validation/inspection of LPV Procedures																																																																						
Future scenarios	Part I Emulated EGNOS improved scenario Emulated Future E-GNSS scenario	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																			
	Part II Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures																																																																						
	Part III Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures																																																																						
PBN Strategy	PBN Strategy National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan	Podgorica	800	1 day 1 people																																																																			
	LPV Procedure Design				LPV Procedure design	Madrid	800	1 day 1 people																																																															
LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Budapest	800	1 day 1 people																																																																			
	Country level SBAS performances assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment Ground validation of LPV procedure																																																																						
GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Part I Ground validation of LPV procedure	Madrid	800	1 day 1 people																																																																			
	Part II Flight validation/inspection of LPV Procedures																																																																						
Future scenarios	Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Madrid	800	1 day 1 people																																																																			
	Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures																																																																						
Final User Forum	Final workshop at the end of the project - main dissemination event	Prague	800	1 day 1 people																																																																			
7	Travel	9000	Hungary has low staff cost, so travel is disproportionately large																																																																				

8

Travel	19600	large Travel costs breakdown for MNAV: Trainings = 2000€*7																																																																																									
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>EGNOS SP FRAMEWORK</td> <td>EU-NON EU service provision framework EGNOS Working Agreement EU-NON EU Civil Aviation regulatory framework, etc</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>2000</td> <td>3 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">PBN Strategy</td> <td>Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios selection PBN specifications PBN Strategy definition National Roll-out Plan</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2 days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part II EGNOS service improvement assessment E-GNSS scenario and advanced PBN specifications</td> <td>Budapest</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LPV Procedure Design</td> <td>LPV Procedure design</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LPV Safety Assessment</td> <td>Safety assessment of LPV procedures</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation</td> <td>Part I SBAS Service Performance assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2 days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part II Ground validation of LPV Procedure</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Flight Validation</td> <td>Flight validations/inspection of LPV Procedures</td> <td>Europe</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2 days 2 people</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="3">Future scenarios</td> <td>Part I Emulated EGNOS improved scenario Emulated Future E-GNSS scenario</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part II Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2 days</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part III Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>2000</td> <td>2 days 2 people</td> </tr> </table> <p>Workshops = 800€*7</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td rowspan="2">PBN Strategy</td> <td>Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan</td> <td>Podgorica</td> <td>800</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Part II LPV Procedure design</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>800</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LPV Safety Assessment</td> <td>Safety assessment of LPV procedures</td> <td>Budapest</td> <td>800</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation</td> <td>Country level SBAS performances assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ground validation of LPV procedure</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>800</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Flight Validation</td> <td>Flight validations/inspection of LPV Procedures Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures</td> <td>Europe</td> <td>800</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Future scenarios</td> <td>Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures</td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>800</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> </table> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Final User Forum</td> <td>Final workshop at the end of the project - main dissemination event</td> <td>Prague</td> <td></td> <td>1 day 800 1 person</td> </tr> </table>			EGNOS SP FRAMEWORK	EU-NON EU service provision framework EGNOS Working Agreement EU-NON EU Civil Aviation regulatory framework, etc	Madrid	2000	3 days 2 people	PBN Strategy	Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios selection PBN specifications PBN Strategy definition National Roll-out Plan			2 days	Part II EGNOS service improvement assessment E-GNSS scenario and advanced PBN specifications	Budapest	2000	2 days 2 people	LPV Procedure Design	LPV Procedure design	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Part I SBAS Service Performance assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment			2 days	Part II Ground validation of LPV Procedure	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	Flight Validation	Flight validations/inspection of LPV Procedures	Europe	2000	2 days 2 people	Future scenarios	Part I Emulated EGNOS improved scenario Emulated Future E-GNSS scenario				Part II Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures			2 days	Part III Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people	PBN Strategy	Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan	Podgorica	800	1 day 1 person	Part II LPV Procedure design	Madrid	800	1 day 1 person	LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Budapest	800	1 day 1 person	GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Country level SBAS performances assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment			1 day 1 person	Ground validation of LPV procedure	Madrid	800	1 day 1 person	Flight Validation	Flight validations/inspection of LPV Procedures Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Europe	800	1 day 1 person	Future scenarios	Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Madrid	800	1 day 1 person	Final User Forum	Final workshop at the end of the project - main dissemination event	Prague		1 day 800 1 person
EGNOS SP FRAMEWORK	EU-NON EU service provision framework EGNOS Working Agreement EU-NON EU Civil Aviation regulatory framework, etc	Madrid	2000	3 days 2 people																																																																																							
PBN Strategy	Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios selection PBN specifications PBN Strategy definition National Roll-out Plan			2 days																																																																																							
	Part II EGNOS service improvement assessment E-GNSS scenario and advanced PBN specifications	Budapest	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																																							
LPV Procedure Design	LPV Procedure design	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																																							
LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																																							
GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Part I SBAS Service Performance assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment			2 days																																																																																							
	Part II Ground validation of LPV Procedure	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																																							
Flight Validation	Flight validations/inspection of LPV Procedures	Europe	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																																							
Future scenarios	Part I Emulated EGNOS improved scenario Emulated Future E-GNSS scenario																																																																																										
	Part II Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures			2 days																																																																																							
	Part III Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people																																																																																							
PBN Strategy	Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan	Podgorica	800	1 day 1 person																																																																																							
	Part II LPV Procedure design	Madrid	800	1 day 1 person																																																																																							
LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Budapest	800	1 day 1 person																																																																																							
GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Country level SBAS performances assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment			1 day 1 person																																																																																							
	Ground validation of LPV procedure	Madrid	800	1 day 1 person																																																																																							
Flight Validation	Flight validations/inspection of LPV Procedures Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Europe	800	1 day 1 person																																																																																							
Future scenarios	Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Madrid	800	1 day 1 person																																																																																							
Final User Forum	Final workshop at the end of the project - main dissemination event	Prague		1 day 800 1 person																																																																																							

9

Travel

24000

ENAV has a significant amount of travel to workshops

10

Travel

9000

Kosovo has low staff cost, so travel is disproportionately large

11

Travel

31600

Travel costs breakdown for PIA:
Trainings = 2000€*7

EGNOS SP FRAMEWORK	EU-NON EU service provision framework EGNOS Working Agreement EU-NON EU Civil Aviation regulatory framework, etc	Madrid	2000	3 days 2 people
PBN Strategy	Part I National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios selection PBN specifications PBN Strategy definition National Roll-out Plan			2 days
	Part II EGNOS service improvement assessment E-GNSS scenario and advanced PBN specifications	Budapest	2000	2 days 2 people
LPV Procedure Design	LPV Procedure design	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people
LPV Safety Assessment	Safety assessment of LPV procedures	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people
GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation	Part I SBAS Service Performance assessment Local SBAS performances assessment Local GNSS interferences assessment			2 days
	Part II Ground validation of LPV Procedure	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people
Flight Validation	Flight validations/inspection of LPV Procedures	Europe	2000	2 days 2 people
Future scenarios	Part I Emulated EGNOS improved scenario Emulated Future E-GNSS scenario			
	Part II Ground assessment of enhanced LPV procedures			2 days
	Part III Flight trials and assessment of enhanced LPV procedures	Madrid	2000	2 days 2 people

Workshops = 800€*7

			<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td> PBN Strategy National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>LPV Procedure Design</td> <td></td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>LPV Safety Assessment</td> <td></td> <td>Budapest</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation</td> <td></td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Flight Validation</td> <td></td> <td>Europe</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Future scenarios</td> <td></td> <td>Madrid</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Final User Forum</td> <td></td> <td>Prague</td> <td>1 day 1 person</td> </tr> </table> <p>+ 12000€ for rent the services of an aircraft for flight trials</p>		PBN Strategy National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan			1 day 1 person		LPV Procedure Design		Madrid	1 day 1 person		LPV Safety Assessment		Budapest	1 day 1 person		GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation		Madrid	1 day 1 person		Flight Validation		Europe	1 day 1 person		Future scenarios		Madrid	1 day 1 person		Final User Forum		Prague	1 day 1 person
	PBN Strategy National scenario analysis Target EGNOS scenarios and PBN specifications EGNOS service improvement assessment Future GNSS scenarios National Roll-out Plan			1 day 1 person																																		
	LPV Procedure Design		Madrid	1 day 1 person																																		
	LPV Safety Assessment		Budapest	1 day 1 person																																		
	GNSS Monitoring & Ground Validation		Madrid	1 day 1 person																																		
	Flight Validation		Europe	1 day 1 person																																		
	Future scenarios		Madrid	1 day 1 person																																		
	Final User Forum		Prague	1 day 1 person																																		
12	Travel	4000	INECO has a significant amount of travel to workshops																																			
13	Travel	11200	Turkey has low staff cost, so travel is disproportionately large																																			
14	Travel	4530	Morocco has low staff cost, so travel is disproportionately large																																			
15	Travel	6250	Morocco has low staff cost, so travel is disproportionately large																																			
16	Travel	3000																																				
17	Travel	5000	Helios has travel to workshops outside Europe																																			
18	Travel	3500	Technion has travel to workshops outside Europe																																			
19	Travel	2200	BITES has low staff cost, so travel is disproportionately large																																			

2.3.6. Ethics and Security

2.3.6.1. Ethics

There are no ethical critical issues for the participants. In response to the ethics assessment, the consortium ensures:

The applicant confirms that the ethical standards and guidelines of Horizon2020 will be rigorously applied, regardless of the country in which the research is carried out.

The project consists in training and awareness sessions and the benefits of the project lies reside in the distribution of information. This will be performed equally within the project participants. The applicant confirms that fair benefit-sharing arrangements with stakeholders from ICPC are ensured during the project.

The applicant confirms that they are no materials which required export authorization. Only documentation (brochure, training manuals...) will be imported to/exported from EU. No export/import authorization is necessary.

The project consists in training and awareness sessions and consequently induces no risks to research participants and staff involved in this project.

2.3.6.2. Security

For all the participants:

- The project will involve activities or results raising security issues: NO
- The project will involve 'EU-classified information' as background or results: NO

ESTIMATED BUDGET FOR THE ACTION (page 1 of 3)

Form of costs ¹	Estimated eligible costs (per budget category)								EU contribution			Additional information		
	A. Direct personnel costs		B. Direct costs of subcontracting		C. Direct costs of in-kind support	D. Other direct costs	E. Indirect costs ²	Total costs	Reimbursement rate ³ %	Maximum EU contribution ⁴	Maximum grant amount ⁵	Information for indirect costs	Information for auditors	Other information
	Actual	Unit ⁶	Unit ⁶		Actual	Actual	Actual	Flat-rate ²	g)	d)	f)	m)	Yes/No	Estimated costs of beneficiaries included parties not receiving EU funding
(a)	Total (b)	No hours	Total (c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	25% ⁷							
								(g) = 0.25x (a) + (b) + (c) + (d) + (e) + (f) + (h) + (k) + (m)	(j) = (g) - (d) - (f) - (g) - (h) - (k) - (m)	(k)	(l)	(n)	(o)	(p)
1. ENFP	27214.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	4204.00	8308.75	43375.75	100.00	41816.75	41714.75	0.00	No
2. OMIVARD	2007.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	4549.00	4226.75	31413.75	100.00	31413.75	31413.75	0.00	No
3. ILLUSTRO/SEA	20720.00	0.00			16300.00	0.00	4954.00	6746.00	48230.00	100.00	48230.00	48230.00	0.00	No
4. MAALISA	1400.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1400.00	400.00	4200.00	100.00	4200.00	4200.00	0.00	No
5. Montenegro GSA	473.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	900.00	274.75	1374.75	100.00	1374.75	1374.75	0.00	No
6. Hungary Cont-f Zrt	3790.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	3160.00	1727.50	8075.00	100.00	8075.00	8075.00	0.00	No
7. NEH Legato Hospital	159.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	900.00	212.50	1312.00	100.00	1312.00	1312.00	0.00	No
8. Macedonia ANSP	1400.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1400.00	400.00	4200.00	100.00	4200.00	4200.00	0.00	No
9. ENW	11745.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	2460.00	3514.25	17681.25	100.00	17681.25	17681.25	0.00	No
10. CAAC	1781.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	900.00	248.25	1547.25	100.00	1547.25	1547.25	0.00	No
11. ELVAir Control	1590.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	3100.00	1070.00	5820.00	100.00	5820.00	5820.00	0.00	No
12. EUROPEA DEL TRASPORTE SA	2550.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	490.00	750.00	3790.00	100.00	3790.00	3790.00	0.00	No
13. Turkey Ministry of Transport	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1120.00	200.00	1400.00	100.00	1400.00	1400.00	0.00	No
14. CRASTE LI	1470.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	430.00	540.00	2710.00	100.00	2710.00	2710.00	0.00	No
15. Air Institute of Navigation	1300.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	420.00	48.50	2428.50	100.00	2428.50	2428.50	0.00	No
16. AIRI	1120.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	500.00	330.00	1850.00	100.00	1850.00	1850.00	0.00	No
17. HELIOS	734.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	300.00	2027.50	3027.50	100.00	3027.50	3027.50	0.00	No
18. HELIOS G. ISRAEL	1420.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	870.00	507.50	2877.50	100.00	2877.50	2877.50	0.00	No

ESTIMATED BUDGET FOR THE ACTION (page 2 of 3)

		Estimated eligible costs (per budget category)							EU contribution			Additional information		
A Direct personnel costs		B Direct costs of subcontracting		C Direct costs of (in support)	D Other direct costs	E Indirect costs ²	Total costs	Reimbursement rate % ³	Maximum EU contribution ⁴	Maximum grant amount ⁵	Information for indirect costs	Information for auditors	Other information	
A.1 Employees (or equivalent) A.2 Natural persons under direct contract A.3 Seconded persons [A.6 Personnel for providing access to research infrastructure]		A.4 SME owners without salary A.5 Beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary			D.1 Travel D.2 Equipment D.3 Other goods and services D.4 Costs of large research infrastructure						Estimated costs of in-kind contributions not used on premises	Declaration of costs under Point D.4	Estimated costs of beneficiaries linked third parties not receiving EU funding	
Form of costs ⁶	Actual	Unit ⁷	Unit ⁸		Actual	Actual	Actual	Flat-rate ⁹						
	(a)	Total (b)	No hours	Total (c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g) 0.25% (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) + (f) (g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	
ESTIMATE OF BENEFICIARIES														
EU rates	1500.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	2200.00	0.00	2100.00	100.00	2100.00	2100.00	0.00	No
Total commitment	1500.00	0.00			10000.00	0.00	16700.00	3600.25	103136.25		103136.25	103136.25	0.00	

ESTIMATED BUDGET FOR THE ACTION (page 3 of 3)

- (1) See Article 6 for the eligibility conditions
- (2) The indirect costs covered by the operating grant (received under any EU or European Funding programme, see Article 6.5 (b)) are ineligible under the GA. Therefore, a beneficiary that receives an operating grant during the action's duration cannot declare indirect costs for the year(s) reporting period(s) covered by the operating grant (see Article 6.2 E).
- (3) This is the theoretical amount of EU contribution that the system calculates automatically (by multiplying all the budgeted costs by the reimbursement rate). This theoretical amount is capped by the 'maximum grant amount' (that the Commission/Agency decided to grant for the action) (see Article 5.1).
- (4) The 'maximum grant amount' is the maximum grant amount decided by the Commission/Agency. It normally corresponds to the requested grant, but may be lower.
- (5) Depending on its type, this specific unit category will or will not cover indirect costs. Specific unit costs that include indirect costs are: costs for energy efficiency measures in buildings, access costs for providing trans-national access to research infrastructure and costs for clinical studies.
- (6) See Article 5 for the forms of costs
- (7) Unit : hours worked on the action; costs per unit (hourly rate) : calculated according to beneficiary's usual accounting practice
- (8) See Annex 2a 'Additional information on the estimated budget for the details (costs per hour (hourly rate))'
- (9) Flat rate : 25% of eligible direct costs, from which are excluded direct costs of subcontracting, costs of in-kind contributions not used on premises, direct costs of financial support, and unit costs declared under budget category F if they include indirect costs
- (10) See Annex 2a 'Additional information on the estimated budget for the details (units, costs per unit)'
- (11) See Annex 2a 'Additional information on the estimated budget for the details (units, costs per unit, estimated number of units, etc)'
- (12) Only specific unit costs that do not include indirect costs
- (13) See Article 9 for beneficiaries not receiving EU funding
- (14) Only for linked third parties that receive EU funding

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

GMV AEROSPACE AND DEFENCE SA UNIPERSONAL (GMV A&D) SA, HOJA M-24659, established in CALLE ISAAC NEWTON 11, TRES CANTOS - MADRID 28760, Spain, ESA79197356, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('2')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacitY On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Jorge POTTI CUERVO with ECAS id npoltjor signed in the Participant Portal on 03/03/2015 at 17:15:01 (transaction id SigId-2882-GqONDBrzcjzQ6LplznmZGzsNCLXNn7Je0HFu8iCIBUqsB0FOe4Y7noF04TfhZqKUCzGUjmF1Crmu1sl6q5zqQ3-PHsUMVSYCHayJ2ruzzVqW-zaPODqLec85V9iloiilzfZokPnLHKAY0Wc5wGMhWUzita). Timestamp by third party at Tue Mar 03 17:15:06 CET 2015

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

TELESPAZIO SPA (TELESPAZIO SPA) SPA, 804949/CF01366520284, established in Via Tiburtina 965, ROMA 00156, Italy, IT04812701003, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('3')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Giuseppe MITOLA with ECAS id nmitogiu signed in the Participant Portal on 03/03/2015 at 15:18:33 (transaction id Sigld-2656-vVBzytzKzlxOUbH4julDcacuCOI2aaLotLYoafzjDzQzmx1NLwEp7KCC0ay7uxyrum1dVjzISRziOznBzuthBdp18-PHslUMVSYCHayJ2ruzzVqWyDzh4iq37hgoATVnLzQKt79nUQ0aWwztr5FB31Md3QZ0). Timestamp by third party at Tue Mar 03 15:18:38 CET 2015

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

INTREPRINDEREA DE STAT PENTRU UTILI ZAREA SPATIULUI AERIAN SI DESERVIREA TRAFICULUI AERIAN MOLDATSA (MoldATSA), 296251/1003600022806, established in BD DACIA 80/4, CHISINAU 2026, Moldova (Republic of), MD0301044, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('4')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacitY On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any amendments to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Dorin GADIMBA with ECAS id ngadimdo signed in the Participant Portal on 03/03/2015 at 14:38:15 (transaction id Sigld-2544-sI9Sm2O0QAC11WKxq0UgQniqFa2qFCMim1BCnl657RQ358HHiloLBQVdPsWkJAlpTzQczpj2ziTbcQl2bzynUC-PHslUMVSYCHayJ2ruzzVqW-uCRmhwFgMaWzLu5DMEWwNQ0ZJub1TR6oCsGHzhBJlfqm).
Timestamp by third party at
Tue Mar 03 14:38:20 CET 2015

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

AGENCIJA ZA CIVILNO VAZDUHOPLOVSTVOCRNE GORE PODGORICA (Montenegro CAA), 02638649, established in JOSIPA BROZA TITA BB, PODGORICA 81000, Montenegro, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('5')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Marijana VUKCEVIC with ECAS id nvukcema signed in the Participant Portal on 04/03/2015 at 10:10:02 (transaction id SigId-3292-ND5gzsyVLH6nfbEiBkzzJADaCwAf9e0g36nYjIFQw10VSQRj4Mpp0j561RnC3wMf99oFQ3d0oKsJ6oW6jpxXW10-PHstUMVSYCHayJ2ruzzVqW-3A1SBJ3LWzWn7LMKyeHupn0vxYjSHUuHxtXNvTVcivG). Timestamp by third party at Wed Mar 04 10:10:08 CET 2015

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

HUNGAROCONTROL MAGYAR LEGIFORGALMI SZOLGALAT ZARTKORUEN MUKODO RESZVENYTARSASAG (HungaroControl Zrt) RT, 0110045570, established in IGLO UTCA 33 35, BUDAPEST 1185, Hungary, HU13851325, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('6')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and *the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency')*,

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

NEMZETI KOZLEKEDESI HATOSAG (NKH Légügyi Hivatal), law 263/2006, established in TEREZ KRT 62, BUDAPEST 1066, Hungary, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('7')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and *the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency')*,

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

PROVISION OF AIR NAVIGATION SERVICES M NAV AD SKOPJE (Macedonia ANSP) AD, 6487726, established in AIRPORT ALEXANDER THE GREAT, PETROVEC 1043, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, MK406900950016, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('8')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

ENAV SPA (ENAV) SPA, 965162/CF97016000586, established in VIA SALARIA 716, ROMA 00138, Italy, IT02152021008, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('9')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Massimo BELLIZZI with ECAS id nbellizm signed in the Participant Portal on 04/03/2015 at 16:47:53 (transaction id SigId-4159-kMGvjBtceJ3AkGXATzVYrI0kynop5Sir5LT7znC5aQ9nyCx8FbnleZ0Jla7Nb6K1MgDnDy473Sjxo7UCUVhGzzS-PHslUMVSYCHayJ2ruzzVqW-BzbsVhZIO0jxyUkOJvxialyriPXILRuN8nzzwCiCaWFa). Timestamp by third party at Wed Mar 04 16:47:59 CET 2015

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

AUTORITETI I AVIACIONIT CIVIL TE KOSOVES (CAAK), CF600470265, established in STR. AHMET KRASNIQI NN LAGJJA ARBERIA, PRISHTINA 10000, Kosovo * UN resolution, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('10')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the *European GNSS Agency* ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

AEROPORTI NDERKOMBETAR I PRISHTINES KONTROLLI AJROR ADEM JASHARI SHA (PIA-Air Control) SHA, 70280980/CF600052964, established in VRELLE LYPJAN ANP KONTROLLI AJROR ADEM JASHARI, PRISTINA 10070, Kosovo * UN resolution, XK330079956, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('11')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the *European GNSS Agency* ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

INGENIERIA Y ECONOMIA DEL TRANSPORTE S.A. (INGENIERIA Y ECONOMIA DEL TRANSPORTE S.A.) SA, M85151, established in Paseo de la Habana 138, MADRID 28036, Spain, ESA28220168, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('12')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and *the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency')*,

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

TURKEY MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT, MARITIME AFFAIRS AND COMMUNICATIONS (Turkey Ministry of Transport), established in Hakkı Turaylıc Caddesi 5, Ankara 06510, Turkey, not applicable, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('13')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and *the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency')*,

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacitY On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

CENTRE REGIONAL AFRICAIN DES SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIES DE L'ESPACE (CRASTE LF), established in Avenue Ibn Sina - Ecole Mohammadia d'Ingénieurs, AGDAL RABAT 10106, Morocco, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('14')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacitY On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Touzani ABDERRAHMANE with ECAS id nabdero signed in the Participant Portal on 04/03/2015 at 10:16:36 (transaction id Sigld-3309-ZlpvshHIAj0KjpVAI50zrthSb61aFzMIHPzbpqdfpzs4aG14Sng3zMEogAw6EuD0SMGS7N1d0CcoGPJx7KpiO5fX-PHslUMVSYCHayJ2ruzVqW-OoxTBx4FPNyGu7dkcPEWsmwzwyjzll9B8l1SUe2gykm). Timestamp by third party at Wed Mar 04 10:16:41 CET 2015

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

ARAB INSTITUTE OF NAVIGATION (Arab Institute of Navigation) EG3, 667, established in SEBAEI BLDG CROSS ROAD OF SEBAIEI STR & 45 STR MIAMI, ALEXANDRIA 21614, Egypt, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('15')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Mostafa REFAAT with ECAS id nrefaamo signed in the Participant Portal on 04/03/2015 at 10:40:47 (transaction id SigId-3369-iXqzQzQzVzoiVR4alvTkpWCjzztZs5lhXnSB0xhCdrzcEFT1VIL8TV3yd6qWppxsooK8JyjNcziNLJlptl8ake-PHslUMVSYCHayJ2ruzzVqW-K4fSulTCQL0othstrsUJRPcmgmywwZ53x3gYMUzzXiW). Timestamp by third party at Wed Mar 04 10:40:53 CET 2015

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

APPLIED RESEARCH INSTITUTE JERUSALEM ASSOCIATION (ARIJ) PS2, BL478B, established in KARAM MUAMAR STREET, Bethlehem 09048 , Palestine, PS732100037, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('16')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and *the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency')*,

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

HELIOS TECHNOLOGY LTD (HELIOS), 3257217, established in AEROSPACE BLV, HERCULES WAY 29, FARNBOROUGH GU14 6UU, United Kingdom, GB681234148, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('17')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacitY On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Nick MCFARLANE with ECAS id nfarlank signed in the Participant Portal on 04/03/2015 at 10:42:31 (transaction id SigId-3374-LpTEvsMOPAvvBAWx7SsTra6IW41F8L8Klp5jppzldzzVnEJkYexBzVDDdJsk9dBizGDg6Xr9wHY0ltp0YwzuVG-PHslUMVSYCHayJ2ruzzVqW-yfCzXWNvKkHBanJrMozs26rf1EhqHbBYkbA0ZnGyoTEG). Timestamp by third party at Wed Mar 04 10:42:39 CET 2015

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

TECHNION ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (TECHNION ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY), 500701636, established in SENATE BUILDING TECHNION CITY, HAIFA 32000, Israel, IL557585585, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('18')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and *the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency')*,

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacitY On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

ANNEX 3

ACCESSION FORM FOR BENEFICIARIES

BITES SAVUNMA HAVACILIK VE UZAY TEKNOLOJILERI YAZILIM ELEKTRONIK TICARET (Bites) TR1, 259769, established in ODTU Teknokent Ikizler Binası B Blok No:35 Dogu Cephe Kat:1, Ankara 06531, Turkey, TR0010514446, ('the beneficiary'), represented for the purpose of signing this Accession Form by the undersigned,

hereby agrees

to become beneficiary ('19')

in Grant Agreement No 641607 ('the Agreement')

between EUROPEAN SATELLITE SERVICES PROVIDER SAS and the European GNSS Agency ('the Agency'),

for the action entitled 'Building EGNSS capacity On EU Neighbouring multimodal Domains. (BEYOND)'.

and mandates

the coordinator to submit and sign in its name and on its behalf any **amendments** to the Agreement, in accordance with Article 55.

By signing this Accession Form, the beneficiary accepts the grant and agrees to implement the grant in accordance with the Agreement, with all the obligations and conditions it sets out.

SIGNATURE

For the beneficiary

Yusuf AKBUGA with ECAS id nakbugyu signed in the Participant Portal on 03/03/2015 at 16:53:14 (transaction id SigId-2850-LzzVRCuTtq1p8RzZQu53hZzhYVnxsenDeszTBvOzNLCCi4vDPm5wiNyW8XprL45qWfhe3rybAzKBh30VivzaD4jS-PHslUMVSYCHayJ2ruzzVqW-EmNVzMIzb4EZGwAsblsrzvXojNQ838cKSpOgUHqyE4eu). Timestamp by third party at Tue Mar 03 16:53:20 CET 2015

print format A4 landscape

MODEL ANNEX 4 FOR H2020 GENERAL MGA — MULTI

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FOR [BENEFICIARY [name]/ LINKED THIRD PARTY [name]] FOR REPORTING PERIOD [reporting period]

Eligible ¹ costs (per budget category)											Receipts		EU contribution		Additional information				
A. Direct personnel costs				B. Direct costs of subcontracting	(C. Direct costs of fin. support)	D. Other direct costs		E. Indirect costs ²	[F. Costs of ...]		Total costs	Receipts	Reimbursement rate %	Maximum EU contribution ³		Requested EU contribution			
A.1 Employees (or equivalent)		A.4 SME owners without salary				D.1 Travel	D.2 Equipment	D.3 Other goods and services	[F.1 Costs of -]			Receipts of the action, to be reported in the last reporting period, according to Article 5.3.3							
A.2 Natural persons under direct contract		A.5 Beneficiaries that are natural persons without salary				[F.2 Costs of large research infrastructure]	[F.3 Costs of -]	Flat rate ⁵	[F.4 Costs of -]	Unit									
A.3 Salaried persons [A.6 Personnel for providing access to research infrastructure]				Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	25%	No units	Total [G]	Total [H]	I = (a+b+c+d+e+f+g)/g	k	l	m	n	o	
Form of costs ⁴		Actual	Unit	No hours	Total c	d	[e]	[f]	[g]	h = 0.25 x (a+b+c+d+e) / (a+b+c+d+e)	No units	Total [G]	Total [H]	I = (a+b+c+d+e+f+g)/g	k	l	m	n	o
[short name beneficiary/linked third party]																			

The beneficiary/linked third party hereby confirms that:
 The information provided is complete, reliable and true.
 The costs declared are eligible (see Article 6).
 The costs can be substantiated by adequate records and supporting documentation that will be produced upon request or in the context of checks, reviews, audits and investigations (see Articles 17, 18 and 22).
 For the last reporting period, that all the receipts have been declared (see Article 5.3.3).

¹ Please declare all eligible costs, even if they exceed the amounts indicated in the estimated budget (see Annex 2). Only amounts that were declared in your individual financial statements can be taken into account later on. In order to replace other costs that are found to be ineligible.

² See Article 6 for the eligibility conditions.

³ The indirect costs claimed must be free of any amounts covered by an operating grant (received under any EU or Euratom funding programme; see Article 6.2.E). If you have received an operating grant during this reporting period, you cannot claim any indirect costs.

⁴ This is the theoretical amount of EU contribution that the system calculates automatically (by multiplying the reimbursement rate by the total costs declared). The amount you request (in the column 'requested EU contribution') may have to be less (e.g. if you and the other beneficiaries are above budget, if the 90% limit [see Article 21] is reached, etc).

⁵ See Article 5 for the form of costs.

⁶ Flat rate: 25% of eligible direct costs, from which are excluded: direct costs of subcontracting, costs of in-kind contributions not used on premises, direct costs of financial support, and unit costs declared under budget category F if they include indirect costs (see Article 6.2.E).

⁷ Only specific unit costs that do not include indirect costs.

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

ANNEX 5

MODEL FOR THE CERTIFICATE ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- For options [*in italics in square brackets*]: choose the applicable option. Options not chosen should be deleted.
- For fields in [grey in square brackets]: enter the appropriate data

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR AN INDEPENDENT REPORT OF FACTUAL FINDINGS ON COSTS DECLARED UNDER A GRANT AGREEMENT FINANCED UNDER THE HORIZON 2020 RESEARCH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME..... 2

INDEPENDENT REPORT OF FACTUAL FINDINGS ON COSTS DECLARED UNDER A GRANT AGREEMENT FINANCED UNDER THE HORIZON 2020 RESEARCH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME 7

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Terms of Reference for an Independent Report of Factual Findings on costs declared under a Grant Agreement financed under the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Framework Programme

This document sets out the ‘Terms of Reference (ToR)’ under which

[OPTION 1: [insert name of the beneficiary] (*‘the Beneficiary’*)] [OPTION 2: [insert name of the linked third party] (*‘the Linked Third Party’*), third party linked to the Beneficiary [insert name of the beneficiary] (*‘the Beneficiary’*)]

agrees to engage

[insert legal name of the auditor] (*‘the Auditor’*)

to produce an independent report of factual findings (*‘the Report’*) concerning the Financial Statement(s)¹ drawn up by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] for the Horizon 2020 grant agreement [insert number of the grant agreement, title of the action, acronym and duration from/to] (*‘the Agreement’*), and

to issue a Certificate on the Financial Statements’ (*‘CFS’*) referred to in Article 20.4 of the Agreement based on the compulsory reporting template stipulated by the Commission.

The Agreement has been concluded under the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Framework Programme (H2020) between the Beneficiary and [OPTION 1: *the European Union, represented by the European Commission (‘the Commission’)*][OPTION 2: *the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom,) represented by the European Commission (‘the Commission’)*][OPTION 3: *the [Research Executive Agency (REA)] [European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA)] [Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA)] [Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME)] (‘the Agency’), under the powers delegated by the European Commission (‘the Commission’).*]

¹ By which costs under the Agreement are declared (see template ‘Model Financial Statements’ in Annex 4 to the Grant Agreement).

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

The *[Commission]* *[Agency]* is mentioned as a signatory of the Agreement with the Beneficiary only. The *[European Union]**[Euratom]**[Agency]* is not a party to this engagement.

1.1 Subject of the engagement

The coordinator must submit to the *[Commission]**[Agency]* the final report within 60 days following the end of the last reporting period which should include, amongst other documents, a CFS for each beneficiary and for each linked third party that requests a total contribution of EUR 325 000 or more, as reimbursement of actual costs and unit costs calculated on the basis of its usual cost accounting practices (see Article 20.4 of the Agreement). The CFS must cover all reporting periods of the beneficiary or linked third party indicated above.

The Beneficiary must submit to the coordinator the CFS for itself and for its linked third party(ies), if the CFS must be included in the final report according to Article 20.4 of the Agreement..

The CFS is composed of two separate documents:

- The Terms of Reference ('the ToR') to be signed by the *[Beneficiary]* *[Linked Third Party]* and the Auditor;
- The Auditor's Independent Report of Factual Findings ('the Report') to be issued on the Auditor's letterhead, dated, stamped and signed by the Auditor (or the competent public officer) which includes the agreed-upon procedures ('the Procedures') to be performed by the Auditor, and the standard factual findings ('the Findings') to be confirmed by the Auditor.

If the CFS must be included in the final report according to Article 20.4 of the Agreement, the request for payment of the balance relating to the Agreement cannot be made without the CFS. However, the payment for reimbursement of costs covered by the CFS does not preclude the *[Commission]*,*[Agency]*, the European Anti-Fraud Office and the European Court of Auditors from carrying out checks, reviews, audits and investigations in accordance with Article 22 of the Agreement.

1.2 Responsibilities

The *[Beneficiary]* *[Linked Third Party]*:

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

- must draw up the Financial Statement(s) for the action financed by the Agreement in compliance with the obligations under the Agreement. The Financial Statement(s) must be drawn up according to the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] accounting and book-keeping system and the underlying accounts and records;
- must send the Financial Statement(s) to the Auditor;
- is responsible and liable for the accuracy of the Financial Statement(s);
- is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the information provided to enable the Auditor to carry out the Procedures. It must provide the Auditor with a written representation letter supporting these statements. The written representation letter must state the period covered by the statements and must be dated;
- accepts that the Auditor cannot carry out the Procedures unless it is given full access to the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] staff and accounting as well as any other relevant records and documentation.

The Auditor:

- *[Option 1 by default: is qualified to carry out statutory audits of accounting documents in accordance with Directive 2006/43/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2006 on statutory audits of annual accounts and consolidated accounts, amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC and repealing Council Directive 84/253/EEC or similar national regulations].*
- *[Option 2 if the Beneficiary or Linked Third Party has an independent Public Officer: is a competent and independent Public Officer for which the relevant national authorities have established the legal capacity to audit the Beneficiary].*
- *[Option 3 if the Beneficiary or Linked Third Party is an international organisation: is an [internal] [external] auditor in accordance with the internal financial regulations and procedures of the international organisation].*

The Auditor:

- must be independent from the Beneficiary *[and the Linked Third Party]*, in particular, it must not have been involved in preparing the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] Financial Statement(s);
- must plan work so that the Procedures may be carried out and the Findings may be assessed;
- must adhere to the Procedures laid down and the compulsory report format;
- must carry out the engagement in accordance with this ToR;
- must document matters which are important to support the Report;
- must base its Report on the evidence gathered;
- must submit the Report to the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party].

The Commission sets out the Procedures to be carried out by the Auditor. The Auditor is not responsible for their suitability or pertinence. As this engagement is not an assurance engagement, the Auditor does not provide an audit opinion or a statement of assurance.

1.3 Applicable Standards

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

The Auditor must comply with these Terms of Reference and with²:

- the International Standard on Related Services ('ISRS') 4400 *Engagements to perform Agreed-upon Procedures regarding Financial Information* as issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB);
- the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA). Although ISRS 4400 states that independence is not a requirement for engagements to carry out agreed-upon procedures, the [Commission][Agency] requires that the Auditor also complies with the Code's independence requirements.

The Auditor's Report must state that there is no conflict of interests in establishing this Report between the Auditor and the Beneficiary [and the Linked Third Party], and must specify - if the service is invoiced - the total fee paid to the Auditor for providing the Report.

1.4 Reporting

The Report must be written in the language of the Agreement (see Article 20.7).

Under Article 22 of the Agreement, the [Commission] [Agency], the European Anti-Fraud Office and the Court of Auditors have the right to audit any work that is carried out under the action and for which costs are declared from [the European Union] [Euratom] budget. This includes work related to this engagement. The Auditor must provide access to all working papers (e.g. recalculation of hourly rates, verification of the time declared for the action) related to this assignment if the [Commission] [Agency], the European Anti-Fraud Office or the European Court of Auditors requests them.

1.5 Timing

The Report must be provided by [dd Month yyyy].

² Supreme Audit Institutions applying INTOSAI-standards may carry out the Procedures according to the corresponding International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions and code of ethics issued by INTOSAI instead of the International Standard on Related Services ('ISRS') 4400 and the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the IAASB and the IESBA.

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

1.6 Other terms

[The [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] and the Auditor can use this section to agree other specific terms, such as the Auditor's fees, liability, applicable law, etc. Those specific terms must not contradict the terms specified above.]

[legal name of the Auditor]

[legal name of the [Beneficiary][Linked Third Party]]

[name & function of authorised representative][name & function of authorised representative]

[dd Month yyyy]

[dd Month yyyy]

Signature of the Auditor

Signature of the [Beneficiary][Linked Third Party]

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Independent Report of Factual Findings on costs declared under Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Framework Programme

(To be printed on the Auditor's letterhead)

To

[name of contact person(s)], [Position]

[[Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] name]

[Address]

[dd Month yyyy]

Dear [Name of contact person(s)],

As agreed under the terms of reference dated [dd Month yyyy]

with [OPTION 1: [insert name of the beneficiary] ('the Beneficiary')] [OPTION 2: [insert name of the linked third party] ('the Linked Third Party'), third party linked to the Beneficiary [insert name of the beneficiary] ('the Beneficiary')],

we

[name of the auditor] ('the Auditor'),

established at

[full address/city/state/province/country],

represented by

[name and function of an authorised representative],

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

have carried out the procedures agreed with you regarding the costs declared in the Financial Statement(s)³ of the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] concerning the grant agreement

[insert grant agreement reference: number, title of the action and acronym] ('the Agreement'),

with a total cost declared of

[total amount] EUR,

and a total of actual costs and 'direct personnel costs declared as unit costs calculated in accordance with the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] usual cost accounting practices' declared of

[sum of total actual costs and total direct personnel costs declared as unit costs calculated in accordance with the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] usual cost accounting practices] EUR

and hereby provide our Independent Report of Factual Findings ('the Report') using the compulsory report format agreed with you.

The Report

Our engagement was carried out in accordance with the terms of reference ('the ToR') appended to this Report. The Report includes the agreed-upon procedures ('the Procedures') carried out and the standard factual findings ('the Findings') examined.

The Procedures were carried out solely to assist the [Commission] [Agency] in evaluating whether the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] costs in the accompanying Financial Statement(s) were declared in accordance with the Agreement. The [Commission] [Agency] draws its own conclusions from the Report and any additional information it may require.

³ By which the Beneficiary declares costs under the Agreement (see template 'Model Financial Statement' in Annex 4 to the Agreement).

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

The scope of the Procedures was defined by the Commission. Therefore, the Auditor is not responsible for their suitability or pertinence. Since the Procedures carried out constitute neither an audit nor a review made in accordance with International Standards on Auditing or International Standards on Review Engagements, the Auditor does not give a statement of assurance on the Financial Statements.

Had the Auditor carried out additional procedures or an audit of the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] Financial Statements in accordance with International Standards on Auditing or International Standards on Review Engagements, other matters might have come to its attention and would have been included in the Report.

Not applicable Findings

We examined the Financial Statement(s) stated above and considered the following Findings not applicable:

Explanation (to be removed from the Report):

If a Finding was not applicable, it must be marked as 'N.A.' ('Not applicable') in the corresponding row on the right-hand column of the table and means that the Finding did not have to be corroborated by the Auditor and the related Procedure(s) did not have to be carried out.

The reasons of the non-application of a certain Finding must be obvious i.e.

- i) if no cost was declared under a certain category then the related Finding(s) and Procedure(s) are not applicable;*
- ii) if the condition set to apply certain Procedure(s) are not met the related Finding(s) and those Procedure(s) are not applicable. For instance, for 'beneficiaries with accounts established in a currency other than euro' the Procedure and Finding related to 'beneficiaries with accounts established in euro' are not applicable. Similarly, if no additional remuneration is paid, the related Finding(s) and Procedure(s) for additional remuneration are not applicable.*

List here all Findings considered not applicable for the present engagement and explain the reasons of the non-applicability.

....

Exceptions

Apart from the exceptions listed below, the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] provided the Auditor all the documentation and accounting information needed by the Auditor to carry out the requested Procedures and evaluate the Findings.

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Explanation (to be removed from the Report):

- *If the Auditor was not able to successfully complete a procedure requested, it must be marked as 'E' ('Exception') in the corresponding row on the right-hand column of the table. The reason such as the inability to reconcile key information or the unavailability of data that prevents the Auditor from carrying out the Procedure must be indicated below.*
- *If the Auditor cannot corroborate a standard finding after having carried out the corresponding procedure, it must also be marked as 'E' ('Exception') and, where possible, the reasons why the Finding was not fulfilled and its possible impact must be explained here below.*

List here any exceptions and add any information on the cause and possible consequences of each exception, if known. If the exception is quantifiable, include the corresponding amount.

....

Example (to be removed from the Report):

1. *The Beneficiary was unable to substantiate the Finding number 1 on ... because ...*
2. *Finding number 30 was not fulfilled because the methodology used by the Beneficiary to calculate unit costs was different from the one approved by the Commission. The differences were as follows: ...*
3. *After carrying out the agreed procedures to confirm the Finding number 31, the Auditor found a difference of _____ EUR. The difference can be explained by ...*

Further Remarks

In addition to reporting on the results of the specific procedures carried out, the Auditor would like to make the following general remarks:

Example (to be removed from the Report):

1. *Regarding Finding number 8 the conditions for additional remuneration were considered as fulfilled because ...*
2. *In order to be able to confirm the Finding number 15 we carried out the following additional procedures:*

Use of this Report

This Report may be used only for the purpose described in the above objective. It was prepared solely for the confidential use of the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] and the [Commission] [Agency], and only to be submitted to the [Commission] [Agency] in connection with the requirements set out in Article 20.4 of the Agreement. The Report may not be used by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] or by the [Commission] [Agency] for any other purpose, nor may it

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

be distributed to any other parties. The [Commission] [Agency] may only disclose the Report to authorised parties, in particular to the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and the European Court of Auditors.

This Report relates only to the Financial Statement(s) submitted to the [Commission] [Agency] by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] for the Agreement. Therefore, it does not extend to any other of the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] Financial Statement(s).

There was no conflict of interest⁴ between the Auditor and the Beneficiary [and Linked Third Party] in establishing this Report. The total fee paid to the Auditor for providing the Report was EUR [] (including EUR [] of deductible VAT).

We look forward to discussing our Report with you and would be pleased to provide any further information or assistance.

[legal name of the Auditor]

[name and function of an authorised representative]

[dd Month yyyy]

Signature of the Auditor

⁴ A conflict of interest arises when the Auditor's objectivity to establish the certificate is compromised in fact or in appearance when the Auditor for instance:

- was involved in the preparation of the Financial Statements;
- stands to benefit directly should the certificate be accepted;
- has a close relationship with any person representing the beneficiary;
- is a director, trustee or partner of the beneficiary; or
- is in any other situation that compromises his or her independence or ability to establish the certificate impartially.

Grant Agreement number(s): [insert numbers and acronyms]

Associated with document Ref. Ares(2015)868748 - 27/02/2015

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: General MGA — Multi: June 2014

Agreed-upon procedures to be performed and standard factual findings to be confirmed by the Auditor

The European Commission reserves the right to i) provide the auditor with additional guidance regarding the procedures to be followed or the facts to be ascertained and the way in which to present them (this may include sample coverage and findings) or to ii) change the procedures, by notifying the Beneficiary in writing. The procedures carried out by the auditor to confirm the standard factual finding are listed in the table below.

If this certificate relates to a Linked Third Party, any reference here below to 'the Beneficiary' is to be considered as a reference to 'the Linked Third Party'.

The 'result' column has three different options: 'C', 'E' and 'N.A.':

- 'C' stands for 'confirmed' and means that the auditor can confirm the 'standard factual finding' and, therefore, there is no exception to be reported.
- 'E' stands for 'exception' and means that the Auditor carried out the procedures but cannot confirm the 'standard factual finding', or that the Auditor was not able to carry out a specific procedure (e.g. because it was impossible to reconcile key information or data were unavailable),
- 'N.A.' stands for 'not applicable' and means that the Finding did not have to be examined by the Auditor and the related Procedure(s) did not have to be carried out. The reasons of the non-application of a certain Finding must be obvious i.e. i) if no cost was declared under a certain category then the related Finding(s) and Procedure(s) are not applicable; ii) if the condition set to apply certain Procedure(s) are not met then the related Finding(s) and Procedure(s) are not applicable. For instance, for 'beneficiaries with accounts established in a currency other than the euro' the Procedure related to 'beneficiaries with accounts established in euro' is not applicable. Similarly, if no additional remuneration is paid, the related Finding(s) and Procedure(s) for additional remuneration are not applicable.

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
A	ACTUAL PERSONNEL COSTS AND UNIT COSTS CALCULATED BY THE BENEFICIARY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS USUAL COST ACCOUNTING PRACTICE		

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<p>The Auditor draws a sample of persons whose costs were declared in the Financial Statement(s) to carry out the procedures indicated in the consecutive points of this section A.</p> <p><i>(The sample should be selected randomly so that it is representative. Full coverage is required if there are fewer than 10 people (including employees, natural persons working under a direct contract and personnel seconded by a third party), otherwise the sample should have a minimum of 10 people, or 10% of the total, whichever number is the highest)</i></p> <p>The Auditor sampled [] people out of the total of [] people.</p>		
A.1	<p>PERSONNEL COSTS</p> <p><u>For the persons included in the sample and working under an employment contract or equivalent act (general procedures for individual actual personnel costs and personnel costs declared as unit costs)</u></p> <p>To confirm standard factual findings 1-5 listed in the next column, the Auditor reviewed following information/documents provided by the Beneficiary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o a list of the persons included in the sample indicating the period(s) during which they worked for the action, their position (classification or category) and type of contract; o the payslips of the employees included in the sample; o reconciliation of the personnel costs declared in the Financial Statement(s) with the accounting system (project accounting and general ledger) and payroll system; o information concerning the employment status and employment conditions of personnel included in the sample, in particular their employment contracts or equivalent; 	<p>1) The employees were i) directly hired by the Beneficiary in accordance with its national legislation, ii) under the Beneficiary's sole technical supervision and responsibility and iii) remunerated in accordance with the Beneficiary's usual practices.</p> <p>2) Personnel costs were recorded in the Beneficiary's accounts/payroll system.</p> <p>3) Costs were adequately supported and reconciled with the accounts and payroll</p>	

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the Beneficiary's usual policy regarding payroll matters (e.g. salary policy, overtime policy, variable pay); o applicable national law on taxes, labour and social security and o any other document that supports the personnel costs declared. <p>The Auditor also verified the eligibility of all components of the retribution (see Article 6 GA) and recalculated the personnel costs for employees included in the sample.</p>	records.	
		4) Personnel costs did not contain any ineligible elements.	
		5) There were no discrepancies between the personnel costs charged to the action and the costs recalculated by the Auditor.	
	<p><i>Further procedures if 'additional remuneration' is paid</i></p> <p>To confirm standard factual findings 6-9 listed in the next column, the Auditor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o reviewed relevant documents provided by the Beneficiary (legal form, legal/statutory obligations, the Beneficiary's usual policy on additional remuneration, criteria used for its calculation...); o recalculated the amount of additional remuneration eligible for the action based on the supporting documents received (full-time or part-time work, exclusive or non-exclusive dedication to the action, etc.) to arrive at the applicable FTE/year and pro-rata rate (see data collected in the course of carrying out the procedures under A.2 'Productive hours' and A.4 'Time recording system'). 	6) The Beneficiary paying "additional remuneration" was a non-profit legal entity.	
		7) The amount of additional remuneration paid corresponded to the Beneficiary's usual remuneration practices and was consistently paid whenever the same kind of work or expertise was required.	

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<p><i>IF ANY PART OF THE REMUNERATION PAID TO THE EMPLOYEE IS NOT MANDATORY ACCORDING TO THE NATIONAL LAW OR THE EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT ("ADDITIONAL REMUNERATION") AND IS ELIGIBLE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE 6.2.A.1, THIS CAN BE CHARGED AS ELIGIBLE COST TO THE ACTION UP TO THE FOLLOWING AMOUNT:</i></p> <p><i>(A) IF THE PERSON WORKS FULL TIME AND EXCLUSIVELY ON THE ACTION DURING THE FULL YEAR: UP TO EUR 8 000/YEAR;</i></p> <p><i>(B) IF THE PERSON WORKS EXCLUSIVELY ON THE ACTION BUT NOT FULL-TIME OR NOT FOR THE FULL YEAR: UP TO THE CORRESPONDING PRO-RATA AMOUNT OF EUR 8 000, OR</i></p> <p><i>(C) IF THE PERSON DOES NOT WORK EXCLUSIVELY ON THE ACTION: UP TO A PRO-RATA AMOUNT CALCULATED IN ACCORDANCE TO ARTICLE 6.2.A.1.</i></p>	<p>8) The criteria used to calculate the additional remuneration were objective and generally applied by the Beneficiary regardless of the source of funding used.</p> <p>9) The amount of additional remuneration included in the personnel costs charged to the action was capped at EUR 8,000 per FTE/year (up to the equivalent pro-rata amount if the person did not work on the action full-time during the year or did not work exclusively on the action).</p>	
	<p><i>Additional procedures in case "unit costs calculated by the Beneficiary in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices" is applied:</i></p> <p>Apart from carrying out the procedures indicated above to confirm standard factual findings 1-5 and, if applicable, also 6-9, the Auditor carried out following procedures to confirm standard factual findings 10-13 listed in the next column:</p>	<p>10) The personnel costs included in the Financial Statement were calculated in accordance with the Beneficiary's usual cost accounting practice. This methodology was consistently used in all H2020 actions.</p>	

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi; September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o obtained a description of the Beneficiary's usual cost accounting practice to calculate unit costs; o reviewed whether the Beneficiary's usual cost accounting practice was applied for the Financial Statements subject of the present CFS; o verified the employees included in the sample were charged under the correct category (in accordance with the criteria used by the Beneficiary to establish personnel categories) by reviewing the contract/HR-record or analytical accounting records; o verified that there is no difference between the total amount of personnel costs used in calculating the cost per unit and the total amount of personnel costs recorded in the statutory accounts; o verified whether actual personnel costs were adjusted on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements and, if so, verified whether those elements used are actually relevant for the calculation, objective and supported by documents. 	11) The employees were charged under the correct category.	
		12) Total personnel costs used in calculating the unit costs were consistent with the expenses recorded in the statutory accounts.	
		13) Any estimated or budgeted element used by the Beneficiary in its unit-cost calculation were relevant for calculating personnel costs and corresponded to objective and verifiable information.	
	<p><u>For natural persons included in the sample and working with the Beneficiary under a direct contract other than an employment contract, such as consultants (no subcontractors).</u></p> <p>To confirm standard factual findings 14-18 listed in the next column the Auditor reviewed following information/documents provided by the Beneficiary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the contracts, especially the cost, contract duration, work description, place of work, ownership of the results and reporting obligations to the Beneficiary; 	14) The natural persons reported to the Beneficiary (worked under the Beneficiary's instructions).	
		15) They worked on the Beneficiary's premises (unless otherwise agreed with the Beneficiary).	

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the employment conditions of staff in the same category to compare costs and; o any other document that supports the costs declared and its registration (e.g. invoices, accounting records, etc.). 	16) The results of work carried out belong to the Beneficiary. 17) Their costs were not significantly different from those for staff who performed similar tasks under an employment contract with the Beneficiary. 18) The costs were supported by audit evidence and registered in the accounts.	
	<p><u>For personnel seconded by a third party and included in the sample (not subcontractors)</u></p> <p>To confirm standard factual findings 19-22 listed in the next column, the Auditor reviewed following information/documents provided by the Beneficiary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o their secondment contract(s) notably regarding costs, duration, work description, place of work and ownership of the results; o if there is reimbursement by the Beneficiary to the third party for the resource made available (in-kind contribution against payment): any documentation that supports the costs declared (e.g. contract, invoice, bank payment, and proof of registration in its accounting/payroll, etc.) and reconciliation of the Financial Statement(s) with the accounting system (project accounting and general ledger) as well as any proof that the amount invoiced by the third party did not include any profit; 	19) Seconded personnel reported to the Beneficiary and worked on the Beneficiary's premises (unless otherwise agreed with the Beneficiary). 20) The results of work carried out belong to the Beneficiary. <i>If personnel is seconded against payment:</i> 21) The costs declared were supported with documentation and recorded in the	

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o if there is no reimbursement by the Beneficiary to the third party for the resource made available (in-kind contribution free of charge): a proof of the actual cost borne by the Third Party for the resource made available free of charge to the Beneficiary such as a statement of costs incurred by the Third Party and proof of the registration in the Third Party's accounting/payroll; o any other document that supports the costs declared (e.g. invoices, etc.). 	<p>Beneficiary's accounts. The third party did not include any profit.</p> <p><i>If personnel is seconded free of charge:</i></p> <p>22) The costs declared did not exceed the third party's cost as recorded in the accounts of the third party and were supported with documentation.</p>	
A.2	<p>PRODUCTIVE HOURS</p> <p>To confirm standard factual findings 23-28 listed in the next column, the Auditor reviewed relevant documents, especially national legislation, labour agreements and contracts and time records of the persons included in the sample, to verify that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the annual productive hours applied were calculated in accordance with one of the methods described below, o the full-time equivalent (FTEs) ratios for employees not working full-time were correctly calculated. 	<p>23) The Beneficiary applied method [choose one option and delete the others]</p> <p>[A: 1720 hours]</p> <p>[B: the 'total number of hours worked']</p> <p>[C: 'annual productive hours' used correspond to usual accounting practices]</p>	

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<p>If the Beneficiary applied method B, the auditor verified that the correctness in which the total number of hours worked was calculated and that the contracts specified the annual workable hours.</p> <p>If the Beneficiary applied method C, the auditor verified that the 'annual productive hours' applied when calculating the hourly rate were equivalent to at least 90 % of the 'standard annual workable hours'. The Auditor can only do this if the calculation of the standard annual workable hours can be supported by records, such as national legislation, labour agreements, and contracts.</p> <p><i>BENEFICIARY'S PRODUCTIVE HOURS' FOR PERSONS WORKING FULL TIME SHALL BE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING METHODS:</i></p> <p><i>A. 1720 ANNUAL PRODUCTIVE HOURS (PRO-RATA FOR PERSONS NOT WORKING FULL-TIME)</i></p> <p><i>B. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED BY THE PERSON FOR THE BENEFICIARY IN THE YEAR (THIS METHOD IS ALSO REFERRED TO AS 'TOTAL NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED' IN THE NEXT COLUMN). THE CALCULATION OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED WAS DONE AS FOLLOWS: ANNUAL WORKABLE HOURS OF THE PERSON ACCORDING TO THE EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT, APPLICABLE LABOUR AGREEMENT OR NATIONAL LAW PLUS OVERTIME WORKED MINUS ABSENCES (SUCH AS SICK LEAVE OR SPECIAL LEAVE).</i></p>	<p>24) Productive hours were calculated annually.</p> <p>25) For employees not working full-time the full-time equivalent (FTE) ratio was correctly applied.</p> <p><i>If the Beneficiary applied method B.</i></p> <p>26) The calculation of the number of 'annual workable hours', overtime and absences was verifiable based on the documents provided by the Beneficiary.</p> <p><i>If the Beneficiary applied method C.</i></p> <p>27) The calculation of the number of 'standard annual workable hours' was verifiable based on the documents provided by the Beneficiary.</p>	

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<p><i>C. THE STANDARD NUMBER OF ANNUAL HOURS GENERALLY APPLIED BY THE BENEFICIARY FOR ITS PERSONNEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS USUAL COST ACCOUNTING PRACTICES (THIS METHOD IS ALSO REFERRED TO AS 'TOTAL ANNUAL PRODUCTIVE HOURS' IN THE NEXT COLUMN). THIS NUMBER MUST BE AT LEAST 90% OF THE STANDARD ANNUAL WORKABLE HOURS.</i></p> <p><i>'ANNUAL WORKABLE HOURS' MEANS THE PERIOD DURING WHICH THE PERSONNEL MUST BE WORKING, AT THE EMPLOYER'S DISPOSAL AND CARRYING OUT HIS/HER ACTIVITY OR DUTIES UNDER THE EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT, APPLICABLE COLLECTIVE LABOUR AGREEMENT OR NATIONAL WORKING TIME LEGISLATION.</i></p>	<p>28) The 'annual productive hours' used for calculating the hourly rate were consistent with the usual cost accounting practices of the Beneficiary and were equivalent to at least 90 % of the 'annual workable hours'.</p>	
A.3	<p>HOURLY PERSONNEL RATES</p> <p><u>1) For unit costs calculated in accordance to the Beneficiary's usual cost accounting practice (unit costs):</u></p> <p>If the Beneficiary has a "Certificate on Methodology to calculate unit costs " (CoMUC) approved by the Commission, the Beneficiary provides the Auditor with a description of the approved methodology and the Commission's letter of acceptance. The Auditor verified that the Beneficiary has indeed used the methodology approved. If so, no further verification is necessary.</p> <p>If the Beneficiary does not have a "Certificate on Methodology" (CoMUC) approved by the</p>	<p>29) The Beneficiary applied [choose one option and delete the other]:</p> <p>[Option I: "Unit costs (hourly rates) were calculated in accordance with the Beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices"]</p> <p>[Option II: Individual hourly rates were applied]</p>	

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<p>Commission, or if the methodology approved was not applied, then the Auditor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o reviewed the documentation provided by the Beneficiary, including manuals and internal guidelines that explain how to calculate hourly rates; o recalculated the unit costs (hourly rates) of staff included in the sample following the results of the procedures carried out in A.1 and A.2. <p><u>II) For individual hourly rates:</u></p> <p>The Auditor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o reviewed the documentation provided by the Beneficiary, including manuals and internal guidelines that explain how to calculate hourly rates; o recalculated the hourly rates of staff included in the sample following the results of the procedures carried out in A.1 and A.2. <p><u>"UNIT COSTS CALCULATED BY THE BENEFICIARY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS USUAL COST ACCOUNTING PRACTICES":</u></p> <p><i>IT IS CALCULATED BY DIVIDING THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF PERSONNEL COSTS OF THE CATEGORY TO WHICH THE EMPLOYEE BELONGS VERIFIED IN LINE WITH PROCEDURE A.1 BY THE NUMBER OF FTE AND THE ANNUAL TOTAL PRODUCTIVE HOURS OF THE SAME CATEGORY CALCULATED BY THE BENEFICIARY IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROCEDURE A.2.</i></p> <p><u>HOURLY RATE FOR INDIVIDUAL ACTUAL PERSONAL COSTS:</u></p> <p><i>IT IS CALCULATED BY DIVIDING THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF PERSONNEL COSTS OF AN EMPLOYEE VERIFIED IN LINE WITH</i></p>	<p><i>For option I concerning unit costs and if the Beneficiary applies the methodology approved by the Commission (CoMUC):</i></p> <p>30) The Beneficiary used the Commission-approved methodology to calculate hourly rates. It corresponded to the organisation's usual cost accounting practices and was applied consistently for all activities irrespective of the source of funding.</p> <p><i>For option I concerning unit costs and if the Beneficiary applies a methodology not approved by the Commission:</i></p> <p>31) The unit costs re-calculated by the Auditor were the same as the rates applied by the Beneficiary.</p> <p><i>For option II concerning individual hourly rates:</i></p>	

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<i>PROCEDURE A.1 BY THE NUMBER OF ANNUAL PRODUCTIVE HOURS VERIFIED IN LINE WITH PROCEDURE A.2.</i>	32) The individual rates re-calculated by the Auditor were the same as the rates applied by the Beneficiary.	
A.4	<p>TIME RECORDING SYSTEM</p> <p>To verify that the time recording system ensures the fulfilment of all minimum requirements and that the hours declared for the action were correct, accurate and properly authorised and supported by documentation, the Auditor made the following checks for the persons included in the sample that declare time as worked for the action on the basis of time records:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o description of the time recording system provided by the Beneficiary (registration, authorisation, processing in the HR-system); o its actual implementation; o time records were signed at least monthly by the employees (on paper or electronically) and authorised by the project manager or another manager; o the hours declared were worked within the project period; o there were no hours declared as worked for the action if HR-records showed absence due to holidays or sickness (further cross-checks with travels are carried out in B.1 below) ; 	<p>33) All persons recorded their time dedicated to the action on a daily/ weekly/ monthly basis using a paper/computer-based system. <i>(delete the answers that are not applicable)</i></p> <p>34) Their time-records were authorised at least monthly by the project manager or other superior.</p> <p>35) Hours declared were worked within the project period and were consistent with the presences/absences recorded in HR-records.</p>	

Grant Agreement number: [insert number] [insert acronym] [insert call/sub-call identifier]

Associated with document Ref. Ares(2015)868748 - 27/02/2015

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the hours charged to the action matched those in the time recording system. <p><i>ONLY THE HOURS WORKED ON THE ACTION CAN BE CHARGED. ALL WORKING TIME TO BE CHARGED SHOULD BE RECORDED THROUGHOUT THE DURATION OF THE PROJECT, ADEQUATELY SUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE OF THEIR REALITY AND RELIABILITY (SEE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS BELOW FOR PERSONS WORKING EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE ACTION WITHOUT TIME RECORDS).</i></p>	36) There were no discrepancies between the number of hours charged to the action and the number of hours recorded.	
	<p><u>If the persons are working exclusively for the action and without time records</u></p> <p>For the persons selected that worked exclusively for the action without time records, the Auditor verified evidence available demonstrating that they were in reality exclusively dedicated to the action and that the Beneficiary signed a declaration confirming that they have worked exclusively for the action.</p>	37) The exclusive dedication is supported by a declaration signed by the Beneficiary's and by any other evidence gathered.	
B	COSTS OF SUBCONTRACTING		
B.1	<p>The Auditor obtained the detail/breakdown of subcontracting costs and sampled [] cost items selected randomly (full coverage is required if there are fewer than 10 items, otherwise the sample should have a minimum of 10 item, or 10% of the total, whichever number is highest).</p> <p>To confirm standard factual findings 38-42 listed in the next column, the Auditor reviewed the</p>	38) The use of claimed subcontracting costs was foreseen in Annex 1 and costs were declared in the Financial Statements under the subcontracting category.	

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<p>following for the items included in the sample:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the use of subcontractors was foreseen in Annex 1; o subcontracting costs were declared in the subcontracting category of the Financial Statement; o supporting documents on the selection and award procedure were followed; o the Beneficiary ensured best value for money (key elements to appreciate the respect of this principle are the award of the subcontract to the bid offering best price-quality ratio, under conditions of transparency and equal treatment. In case an existing framework contract was used the Beneficiary ensured it was established on the basis of the principle of best value for money under conditions of transparency and equal treatment). <p>In particular,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. if the Beneficiary acted as a contracting authority within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC or of Directive 2004/17/EC, the Auditor verified that the applicable national law on public procurement was followed and that the subcontracting complied with the Terms and Conditions of the Agreement. ii. if the Beneficiary did not fall under the above-mentioned category the Auditor verified that the Beneficiary followed their usual procurement rules and respected the Terms and Conditions of the Agreement.. 	<p>39) There were documents of requests to different providers, different offers and assessment of the offers before selection of the provider in line with internal procedures and procurement rules. Subcontracts were awarded in accordance with the principle of best value for money.</p> <p><i>(When different offers were not collected the Auditor explains the reasons provided by the Beneficiary under the caption "Exceptions" of the Report. The Commission will analyse this information to evaluate whether these costs might be accepted as eligible)</i></p>	
	<p>For the items included in the sample the Auditor also verified that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the subcontracts were not awarded to other Beneficiaries in the consortium; 	<p>40) The subcontracts were not awarded to other Beneficiaries of the consortium.</p>	

Grant Agreement number: [insert number] [insert acronym] [insert call/sub-call identifier]

Associated with document Ref. Ares(2015)868748 - 27/02/2015

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o there were signed agreements between the Beneficiary and the subcontractor; o there was evidence that the services were provided by subcontractor; 	<p>41) All subcontracts were supported by signed agreements between the Beneficiary and the subcontractor.</p> <p>42) There was evidence that the services were provided by the subcontractors.</p>	
C	COSTS OF PROVIDING FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES		
C.1	<p>The Auditor obtained the detail/breakdown of the costs of providing financial support to third parties and sampled [redacted] cost items selected randomly (<i>full coverage is required if there are fewer than 10 items, otherwise the sample should have a minimum of 10 item, or 10% of the total, whichever number is highest</i>).</p> <p>The Auditor verified that the following minimum conditions were met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) the maximum amount of financial support for each third party did not exceed EUR 60 000, unless explicitly mentioned in Annex 1; b) the financial support to third parties was agreed in Annex 1 of the Agreement and the other provisions on financial support to third parties included in Annex 1 were 	<p>43) All minimum conditions were met</p>	

Grant Agreement number: [insert number] [insert acronym] [insert call/sub-call identifier]

Associated with document Ref. Ares(2015)868748 - 27/02/2015

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Ref	Procedures	Standard factual finding	Result (C / E / N.A.)
	respected.		

D	OTHER ACTUAL DIRECT COSTS	
D.1	<p>COSTS OF TRAVEL AND RELATED SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCES</p> <p>The Auditor sampled [] cost items selected randomly (<i>full coverage is required if there are fewer than 10 items, otherwise the sample should have a minimum of 10 item, or 10% of the total, whichever number is the highest</i>).</p> <p>The Auditor inspected the sample and verified that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o travel and subsistence costs were consistent with the Beneficiary's usual policy for travel. In this context, the Beneficiary provided evidence of its normal policy for travel costs (e.g. use of first class tickets, reimbursement by the Beneficiary on the basis of actual costs, a lump sum or per diem) to enable the Auditor to compare the travel costs charged with this policy; o travel costs are correctly identified and allocated to the action (e.g. trips are directly linked to the action) by reviewing relevant supporting documents such as minutes of meetings, workshops or conferences, their registration in the correct project account, their consistency with time records or with the dates/duration of the workshop/conference; o no ineligible costs or excessive or reckless expenditure was declared. 	<p>44) Costs were incurred, approved and reimbursed in line with the Beneficiary's usual policy for travels.</p> <p>45) There was a link between the trip and the action.</p> <p>46) The supporting documents were consistent with each other regarding subject of the trip, dates, duration and reconciled with time records and accounting.</p> <p>47) No ineligible costs or excessive or reckless expenditure was declared.</p>
D.2	<p>DEPRECIATION COSTS FOR EQUIPMENT, INFRASTRUCTURE OR OTHER ASSETS</p> <p>The Auditor sampled [] cost items selected randomly (<i>full coverage is required if there are fewer than 10 items, otherwise the sample should have a minimum of 10 item, or 10% of the total, whichever number is the highest</i>).</p> <p>For "equipment, infrastructure or other assets" [from now on called "asset(s)"] selected in the</p>	<p>48) Procurement rules, principles and guides were followed.</p> <p>49) There was a link between the grant agreement and the asset charged to the action.</p>

	<p>sample the Auditor verified that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the assets were acquired in conformity with the Beneficiary's internal guidelines and procedures; o they were correctly allocated to the action (with supporting documents such as delivery note invoice or any other proof demonstrating the link to the action) o they were entered in the accounting system; o the extent to which the assets were used for the action (as a percentage) was supported by reliable documentation (e.g. usage overview table); <p>The Auditor recalculated the depreciation costs and verified that they were in line with the applicable rules in the Beneficiary's country and with the Beneficiary's usual accounting policy (e.g. depreciation calculated on the acquisition value).</p> <p>The Auditor verified that no ineligible costs such as deductible VAT, exchange rate losses, excessive or reckless expenditure were declared (see Article 6.5 GA).</p>	<p>50) The asset charged to the action was traceable to the accounting records and the underlying documents.</p>	
		<p>51) The depreciation method used to charge the asset to the action was in line with the applicable rules of the Beneficiary's country and the Beneficiary's usual accounting policy.</p>	
		<p>52) The amount charged corresponded to the actual usage for the action.</p>	
		<p>53) No ineligible costs or excessive or reckless expenditure were declared.</p>	
<p>D.3</p>	<p>COSTS OF OTHER GOODS AND SERVICES</p> <p>The Auditor sampled [] cost items selected randomly (full coverage is required if there are fewer than 10 items, otherwise the sample should have a minimum of 10 item, or 10% of the total, whichever number is highest).</p> <p>For the purchase of goods, works or services included in the sample the Auditor verified that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the contracts did not cover tasks described in Annex 1; 	<p>54) Contracts for works or services did not cover tasks described in Annex 1.</p>	
		<p>55) Costs were allocated to the correct action and the goods were not placed in the inventory of durable equipment.</p>	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o they were correctly identified, allocated to the proper action, entered in the accounting system (traceable to underlying documents such as purchase orders, invoices and accounting); o the goods were not placed in the inventory of durable equipment; o the costs charged to the action were accounted in line with the Beneficiary's usual accounting practices; o no ineligible costs or excessive or reckless expenditure were declared (see Article 6 GA). <p>In addition, the Auditor verified that these goods and services were acquired in conformity with the Beneficiary's internal guidelines and procedures, in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o if Beneficiary acted as a contracting authority within the meaning of Directive 2004/18/EC or of Directive 2004/17/EC, the Auditor verified that the applicable national law on public procurement was followed and that the procurement contract complied with the Terms and Conditions of the Agreement. o if the Beneficiary did not fall into the category above, the Auditor verified that the Beneficiary followed their usual procurement rules and respected the Terms and Conditions of the Agreement. <p>For the items included in the sample the Auditor also verified that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o the Beneficiary ensured best value for money (key elements to appreciate the respect of this principle are the award of the contract to the bid offering best price-quality ratio, under conditions of transparency and equal treatment. In case an existing framework contract was used the Auditor also verified that the Beneficiary ensured it was established on the basis of the principle of best value for money under conditions of transparency and equal treatment); <p><i>SUCH GOODS AND SERVICES INCLUDE, FOR INSTANCE, CONSUMABLES AND SUPPLIES, DISSEMINATION (INCLUDING OPEN ACCESS), PROTECTION OF RESULTS, SPECIFIC EVALUATION OF THE ACTION IF IT IS REQUIRED BY THE</i></p>	56) The costs were charged in line with the Beneficiary's accounting policy and were adequately supported.	
	57) No ineligible costs or excessive or reckless expenditure were declared. For internal invoices/charges only the cost element was charged, without any mark-ups.	
	58) Procurement rules, principles and guides were followed. There were documents of requests to different providers, different offers and assessment of the offers before selection of the provider in line with internal procedures and procurement rules. The purchases were made in accordance with the principle of best value for money. <i>(When different offers were not collected the Auditor explains the reasons provided by the Beneficiary under the</i>	

	<p>AGREEMENT, CERTIFICATES ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IF THEY ARE REQUIRED BY THE AGREEMENT AND CERTIFICATES ON THE METHODOLOGY, TRANSLATIONS, REPRODUCTION.</p>	<p>caption "Exceptions" of the Report. The Commission will analyse this information to evaluate whether these costs might be accepted as eligible)</p>	
D.4	<p>AGGREGATED CAPITALISED AND OPERATING COSTS OF RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>The Auditor ensured the existence of a positive ex-ante assessment (issued by the EC Services) of the cost accounting methodology of the Beneficiary allowing it to apply the guidelines on direct costing for large research infrastructures in Horizon 2020.</p> <p><i>In the cases that a positive ex-ante assessment has been issued (see the standard factual findings 59-60 on the next column),</i></p> <p>The Auditor ensured that the beneficiary has applied consistently the methodology that is explained and approved in the positive ex ante assessment;</p> <p><i>In the cases that a positive ex-ante assessment has NOT been issued (see the standard factual findings 61 on the next column),</i></p> <p>The Auditor verified that no costs of Large Research Infrastructure have been charged as direct costs in any costs category;</p>	<p>59) The costs declared as direct costs for Large Research Infrastructures (in the appropriate line of the Financial Statement) comply with the methodology described in the positive ex-ante assessment report.</p>	
		<p>60) Any difference between the methodology applied and the one positively assessed was extensively described and adjusted accordingly.</p>	
		<p>61) The direct costs declared were free from any indirect costs items related to the Large Research Infrastructure.</p>	

	<p><i>In the cases that a draft ex-ante assessment report has been issued with recommendation for further changes (see the standard factual findings 61 on the next column),</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Auditor followed the same procedure as above (when a positive ex-ante assessment has NOT yet been issued) and paid particular attention (testing reinforced) to the cost items for which the draft ex-ante assessment either rejected the inclusion as direct costs for Large Research Infrastructures or issued recommendations. 		
E	USE OF EXCHANGE RATES		
E.1	<p>a) For Beneficiaries with accounts established in a currency other than euros</p> <p>The Auditor sampled [] cost items selected randomly and verified that the exchange rates used for converting other currencies into euros were in accordance with the following rules established in the Agreement (full coverage is required if there are fewer than 10 items, otherwise the sample should have a minimum of 10 item, or 10% of the total, whichever number is highest):</p> <p><i>COSTS INCURRED IN ANOTHER CURRENCY SHALL BE CONVERTED INTO EURO AT THE AVERAGE OF THE DAILY EXCHANGE RATES PUBLISHED IN THE C SERIES OF OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION (https://www.ecb.int/stats/exchange/eurofxref/html/index.en.html), DETERMINED OVER THE CORRESPONDING REPORTING PERIOD.</i></p> <p><i>IF NO DAILY EURO EXCHANGE RATE IS PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION FOR THE CURRENCY IN QUESTION, CONVERSION SHALL BE MADE AT THE AVERAGE OF THE MONTHLY ACCOUNTING RATES ESTABLISHED BY THE COMMISSION AND PUBLISHED ON ITS WEBSITE (http://ec.europa.eu/budget/contracts_grants/info_contracts/inforeuro/inforeuro_en.cfm),</i></p>	<p>62) The exchange rates used to convert other currencies into Euros were in accordance with the rules established of the Grant Agreement and there was no difference in the final figures.</p>	

Grant Agreement number: [insert number] [insert acronym] [insert call/sub-call identifier]

Associated with document Ref. Ares(2015)868748 - 27/02/2015

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

<i>DETERMINED OVER THE CORRESPONDING REPORTING PERIOD.</i>		
<p>b) For Beneficiaries with accounts established in euros</p> <p>The Auditor sampled [] cost items selected randomly and verified that the exchange rates used for converting other currencies into euros were in accordance with the following rules established in the Agreement (full coverage is required if there are fewer than 10 items, otherwise the sample should have a minimum of 10 item, or 10% of the total, whichever number is highest):</p> <p><i>COSTS INCURRED IN ANOTHER CURRENCY SHALL BE CONVERTED INTO EURO BY APPLYING THE BENEFICIARY'S USUAL ACCOUNTING PRACTICES.</i></p>	63) The Beneficiary applied its usual accounting practices.	

[legal name of the audit firm]

[name and function of an authorised representative]

[dd Month yyyy]

<Signature of the Auditor>

ANNEX 6

MODEL FOR THE CERTIFICATE ON THE METHODOLOGY

- For options [*in italics in square brackets*]: choose the applicable option. Options not chosen should be deleted.
- For fields in [grey in square brackets]: enter the appropriate data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR AN AUDIT ENGAGEMENT FOR A METHODOLOGY CERTIFICATE IN CONNECTION WITH ONE OR MORE GRANT AGREEMENTS FINANCED UNDER THE HORIZON 2020 RESEARCH AND INNOVATION FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME.....	2
INDEPENDENT REPORT OF FACTUAL FINDINGS ON THE METHODOLOGY CONCERNING GRANT AGREEMENTS FINANCED UNDER THE HORIZON 2020 RESEARCH AND INNOVATION FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME	7

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Terms of reference for an audit engagement for a methodology certificate in connection with one or more grant agreements financed under the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Framework Programme

This document sets out the 'Terms of Reference (ToR)' under which

[OPTION 1: [insert name of the beneficiary] ('the Beneficiary')] [OPTION 2: [insert name of the linked third party] ('the Linked Third Party'), third party linked to the Beneficiary [insert name of the beneficiary] ('the Beneficiary')]

agrees to engage

[insert legal name of the auditor] ('the Auditor')

to produce an independent report of factual findings ('the Report') concerning the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] usual accounting practices for calculating and claiming direct personnel costs declared as unit costs ('the Methodology') in connection with grant agreements financed under the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Framework Programme.

The procedures to be carried out for the assessment of the methodology will be based on the grant agreement(s) detailed below:

[title and number of the grant agreement(s)] ('the Agreement(s)')

The Agreement(s) has(have) been concluded between the Beneficiary and [OPTION 1: the European Union, represented by the European Commission ('the Commission')][OPTION 2: the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom,) represented by the European Commission ('the Commission')][OPTION 3: the [Research Executive Agency (REA)] [European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA)] [Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA)] [Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME)] ('the Agency'), under the powers delegated by the European Commission ('the Commission').].

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

The *[Commission] [Agency]* is mentioned as a signatory of the Agreement with the Beneficiary only.
The *[European Union] [Euratom] [Agency]* is not a party to this engagement.

1.1 Subject of the engagement

According to Article 18.1.2 of the Agreement, beneficiaries *[and linked third parties]* that declare direct personnel costs as unit costs calculated in accordance with their usual cost accounting practices may submit to the *[Commission] [Agency]*, for approval, a certificate on the methodology ('CoMUC') stating that there are adequate records and documentation to prove that their cost accounting practices used comply with the conditions set out in Point A of Article 6.2.

The subject of this engagement is the CoMUC which is composed of two separate documents:

- the Terms of Reference ('the ToR') to be signed by the *[Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]* and the Auditor;
- the Auditor's Independent Report of Factual Findings ('the Report') issued on the Auditor's letterhead, dated, stamped and signed by the Auditor which includes; the standard statements ('the Statements') evaluated and signed by the *[Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]*, the agreed-upon procedures ('the Procedures') performed by the Auditor and the standard factual findings ('the Findings') assessed by the Auditor. The Statements, Procedures and Findings are summarised in the table that forms part of the Report.

The information provided through the Statements, the Procedures and the Findings will enable the Commission to draw conclusions regarding the existence of the *[Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's]* usual cost accounting practice and its suitability to ensure that direct personnel costs claimed on that basis comply with the provisions of the Agreement. The Commission draws its own conclusions from the Report and any additional information it may require.

1.2 Responsibilities

The parties to this agreement are the *[Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]* and the Auditor.

The *[Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]*:

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

- is responsible for preparing financial statements for the Agreement(s) ('the Financial Statements') in compliance with those Agreements;
- is responsible for providing the Financial Statement(s) to the Auditor and enabling the Auditor to reconcile them with the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] accounting and bookkeeping system and the underlying accounts and records. The Financial Statement(s) will be used as a basis for the procedures which the Auditor will carry out under this ToR;
- is responsible for its Methodology and liable for the accuracy of the Financial Statement(s);
- is responsible for endorsing or refuting the Statements indicated under the heading 'Statements to be made by the Beneficiary/ Linked Third Party' in the first column of the table that forms part of the Report;
- must provide the Auditor with a signed and dated representation letter;
- accepts that the ability of the Auditor to carry out the Procedures effectively depends upon the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] providing full and free access to the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] staff and to its accounting and other relevant records.

The Auditor:

- *[Option 1 by default: is qualified to carry out statutory audits of accounting documents in accordance with Directive 2006/43/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2006 on statutory audits of annual accounts and consolidated accounts, amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC and repealing Council Directive 84/253/EEC or similar national regulations].*
- *[Option 2 if the Beneficiary or Linked Third Party has an independent Public Officer: is a competent and independent Public Officer for which the relevant national authorities have established the legal capacity to audit the Beneficiary].*
- *[Option 3 if the Beneficiary or Linked Third Party is an international organisation: is an [internal] [external] auditor in accordance with the internal financial regulations and procedures of the international organisation].*

The Auditor:

- must be independent from the Beneficiary [and the Linked Third Party], in particular, it must not have been involved in preparing the Beneficiary's [and Linked Third Party's] Financial Statement(s);
- must plan work so that the Procedures may be carried out and the Findings may be assessed;
- must adhere to the Procedures laid down and the compulsory report format;
- must carry out the engagement in accordance with these ToR;
- must document matters which are important to support the Report;
- must base its Report on the evidence gathered;
- must submit the Report to the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party].

The Commission sets out the Procedures to be carried out and the Findings to be endorsed by the Auditor. The Auditor is not responsible for their suitability or pertinence. As this engagement is not an assurance engagement the Auditor does not provide an audit opinion or a statement of assurance.

1.3 Applicable Standards

The Auditor must comply with these Terms of Reference and with¹:

- the International Standard on Related Services ('ISRS') 4400 *Engagements to perform Agreed-upon Procedures regarding Financial Information* as issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB);
- the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA). Although ISRS 4400 states that independence is not a requirement for engagements to carry out agreed-upon procedures, the Commission requires that the Auditor also complies with the Code's independence requirements.

The Auditor's Report must state that there was no conflict of interests in establishing this Report between the Auditor and the Beneficiary [*and the Linked Third Party*] that could have a bearing on the Report, and must specify – if the service is invoiced - the total fee paid to the Auditor for providing the Report.

1.4 Reporting

The Report must be written in the language of the Agreement (see Article 20.7 of the Agreement).

Under Article 22 of the Agreement, the Commission, [*the Agency*], the European Anti-Fraud Office and the Court of Auditors have the right to audit any work that is carried out under the action and for which costs are claimed from [*the European Union*] [*Euratom*] budget. This includes work related to this engagement. The Auditor must provide access to all working papers related to this assignment if the Commission, [*the Agency*], the European Anti-Fraud Office or the European Court of Auditors requests them.

1.5 Timing

The Report must be provided by [dd Month yyyy].

¹ Supreme Audit Institutions applying INTOSAI-standards may carry out the Procedures according to the corresponding International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions and code of ethics issued by INTOSAI instead of the International Standard on Related Services ('ISRS') 4400 and the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the IAASB and the IESBA.

Grant Agreement number(s): [insert numbers and acronyms] Associated with document Ref. Ares(2015)868748 - 27/02/2015

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

1.6 Other Terms

[The [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] and the Auditor can use this section to agree other specific terms, such as the Auditor's fees, liability, applicable law, etc. Those specific terms must not contradict the terms specified above.]

[legal name of the Auditor]

[legal name of the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]]

[name & title of authorised representative]

[name & title of authorised representative]

[dd Month yyyy]

[dd Month yyyy]

Signature of the Auditor Signature

Signature of the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]

Independent report of factual findings on the methodology concerning grant agreements financed under the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Framework Programme

(To be printed on letterhead paper of the auditor)

To

[name of contact person(s)], [Position]

[[Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] name]

[Address]

[dd Month yyyy]

Dear [Name of contact person(s)],

As agreed under the terms of reference dated [dd Month yyyy]

with [OPTION 1: [insert name of the beneficiary] ('the Beneficiary')] [OPTION 2: [insert name of the linked third party] ('the Linked Third Party'), third party linked to the Beneficiary [insert name of the beneficiary] ('the Beneficiary')],

we

[name of the auditor] ('the Auditor'),

established at

[full address/city/state/province/country],

represented by

[name and function of an authorised representative],

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

have carried out the agreed-upon procedures ('the Procedures') and provide hereby our Independent Report of Factual Findings ('the Report'), concerning the *[Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's]* usual accounting practices for calculating and declaring direct personnel costs declared as unit costs ('the Methodology').

You requested certain procedures to be carried out in connection with the grant(s)

[title and number of the grant agreement(s)] ('the Agreement(s)').

The Report

Our engagement was carried out in accordance with the terms of reference ('the ToR') appended to this Report. The Report includes: the standard statements ('the Statements') made by the *[Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]*, the agreed-upon procedures ('the Procedures') carried out and the standard factual findings ('the Findings') confirmed by us.

The engagement involved carrying out the Procedures and assessing the Findings and the documentation requested appended to this Report, the results of which the Commission uses to draw conclusions regarding the acceptability of the Methodology applied by the *[Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]*.

The Report covers the methodology used from [dd Month yyyy]. In the event that the *[Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]* changes this methodology, the Report will not be applicable to any Financial Statement² submitted thereafter.

The scope of the Procedures and the definition of the standard statements and findings were determined solely by the Commission. Therefore, the Auditor is not responsible for their suitability or pertinence.

Since the Procedures carried out constitute neither an audit nor a review made in accordance with International Standards on Auditing or International Standards on Review Engagements, we do not

² Financial Statement in this context refers solely to Annex 4 of the Agreement by which the Beneficiary declares costs under the Agreement.

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

give a statement of assurance on the costs declared on the basis of the [Beneficiary's] [Linked Third Party's] Methodology. Had we carried out additional procedures or had we performed an audit or review in accordance with these standards, other matters might have come to its attention and would have been included in the Report.

Exceptions

Apart from the exceptions listed below, the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] agreed with the standard Statements and provided the Auditor all the documentation and accounting information needed by the Auditor to carry out the requested Procedures and corroborate the standard Findings.

List here any exception and add any information on the cause and possible consequences of each exception, if known. If the exception is quantifiable, also indicate the corresponding amount.

.....

Explanation of possible exceptions in the form of examples (to be removed from the Report):

- i. the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] did not agree with the standard Statement number ... because...;*
- ii. the Auditor could not carry out the procedure ... established because (e.g. due to the inability to reconcile key information or the unavailability or inconsistency of data);*
- iii. the Auditor could not confirm or corroborate the standard Finding number ... because*

Remarks

We would like to add the following remarks relevant for the proper understanding of the Methodology applied by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] or the results reported:

Example (to be removed from the Report):

Regarding the methodology applied to calculate hourly rates ...

Regarding standard Finding 15 it has to be noted that ...

The [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] explained the deviation from the benchmark statement XXIV concerning time recording for personnel with no exclusive dedication to the action in the following manner:

...

Annexes

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Please provide the following documents to the auditor and annex them to the report when submitting this CoMUC to the Commission:

1. Brief description of the methodology for calculating personnel costs, productive hours and hourly rates;
2. Brief description of the time recording system in place;
3. An example of the time records used by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party];
4. Description of any budgeted or estimated elements applied, together with an explanation as to why they are relevant for calculating the personnel costs and how they are based on objective and verifiable information;
5. A summary sheet with the hourly rate for direct personnel declared by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] and recalculated by the Auditor for each staff member included in the sample (the names do not need to be reported);
6. A comparative table summarising for each person selected in the sample a) the time claimed by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] in the Financial Statement(s) and b) the time according to the time record verified by the Auditor;
7. A copy of the letter of representation provided to the Auditor.

Use of this Report

This Report has been drawn up solely for the purpose given under Point 1.1 Reasons for the engagement.

The Report:

- is confidential and is intended to be submitted to the Commission by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] in connection with Article 18.1.2 of the Agreement;
- may not be used by the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party] or by the Commission for any other purpose, nor distributed to any other parties;
- may be disclosed by the Commission only to authorised parties, in particular the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and the European Court of Auditors.
- relates only to the usual cost accounting practices specified above and does not constitute a report on the Financial Statements of the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party].

No conflict of interest³ exists between the Auditor and the Beneficiary [and the Linked Third Party] that could have a bearing on the Report. The total fee paid to the Auditor for producing the Report was EUR [] (including EUR [] of deductible VAT).

³ A conflict of interest arises when the Auditor's objectivity to establish the certificate is compromised in fact or in appearance when the Auditor for instance:

- was involved in the preparation of the Financial Statements;

Grant Agreement number(s): [insert numbers and acronyms] Associated with document Ref. Ares(2015)868748 - 27/02/2015

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

We look forward to discussing our Report with you and would be pleased to provide any further information or assistance which may be required.

Yours sincerely

[legal name of the Auditor]

[name and title of the authorised representative]

[dd Month yyyy]

Signature of the Auditor

-
- stands to benefit directly should the certificate be accepted;
 - has a close relationship with any person representing the beneficiary;
 - is a director, trustee or partner of the beneficiary; or
 - is in any other situation that compromises his or her independence or ability to establish the certificate impartially.

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Statements to be made by the Beneficiary/Linked Third Party ('the Statements') and Procedures to be carried out by the Auditor ('the Procedures') and standard factual findings ('the Findings') to be confirmed by the Auditor

The Commission reserves the right to provide the auditor with guidance regarding the Statements to be made, the Procedures to be carried out or the Findings to be ascertained and the way in which to present them. The Commission reserves the right to vary the Statements, Procedures or Findings by written notification to the Beneficiary/Linked Third Party to adapt the procedures to changes in the grant agreement(s) or to any other circumstances.

If this methodology certificate relates to the Linked Third Party's usual accounting practices for calculating and claiming direct personnel costs declared as unit costs any reference here below to 'the Beneficiary' is to be considered as a reference to 'the Linked Third Party'.

<i>Please explain any discrepancies in the body of the Report.</i>	
Statements to be made by Beneficiary	Procedures to be carried out and Findings to be confirmed by the Auditor
<p>A. Use of the Methodology</p> <p>I. The cost accounting practice described below has been in use since [dd Month yyyy].</p> <p>II. The next planned alteration to the methodology used by the Beneficiary will be from [dd Month yyyy].</p>	<p>Procedure:</p> <p>✓ The Auditor checked these dates against the documentation the Beneficiary has provided.</p> <p>Factual finding:</p> <p>1. The dates provided by the Beneficiary were consistent with the documentation.</p>
<p>B. Description of the Methodology</p> <p>III. The methodology to calculate unit costs is being used in a consistent manner and is reflected in the relevant procedures.</p> <p><i>[Please describe the methodology your entity uses to calculate <u>personnel</u> costs, productive hours and hourly rates, present your description to the Auditor and annex it to this certificate]</i></p> <p><i>[If the statement of section "B. Description of the methodology" cannot be endorsed by the Beneficiary or there is no written methodology to calculate unit costs it should be listed here below and reported as exception by the Auditor in the main Report of</i></p>	<p>Procedure:</p> <p>✓ The Auditor reviewed the description, the relevant manuals and/or internal guidance documents describing the methodology.</p> <p>Factual finding:</p> <p>2. The brief description was consistent with the relevant manuals, internal guidance and/or other documentary evidence the Auditor has reviewed.</p> <p>3. The methodology was generally applied by the Beneficiary as part of its usual costs accounting practices.</p>

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

<i>Please explain any discrepancies in the body of the Report.</i>	
Statements to be made by Beneficiary	Procedures to be carried out and Findings to be confirmed by the Auditor
<i>Factual Findings:</i> - ...]	
<p>C. Personnel costs</p> <p><u>General</u></p> <p>IV. The unit costs (hourly rates) are limited to salaries including during parental leave, social security contributions, taxes and other costs included in the remuneration required under national law and the employment contract or equivalent appointing act;</p> <p>V. Employees are hired directly by the Beneficiary in accordance with national law, and work under its sole supervision and responsibility;</p> <p>VI. The Beneficiary remunerates its employees in accordance with its usual practices. This means that personnel costs are charged in line with the Beneficiary's usual payroll policy (e.g. salary policy, overtime policy, variable pay) and no special conditions exist for employees assigned to tasks relating to the European Union or Euratom, unless explicitly provided for in the grant agreement(s);</p> <p>VII. The Beneficiary allocates its employees to the relevant group/category/cost centre for the purpose of the unit cost calculation in line with the usual cost accounting practice;</p> <p>VIII. Personnel costs are based on the payroll system and accounting system.</p> <p>IX. Any exceptional adjustments of actual personnel costs resulted from relevant budgeted or estimated elements and were based on objective and verifiable information. <i>[Please describe the 'budgeted or estimated elements' and their relevance to personnel costs, and explain how they were reasonable and based on objective and verifiable information, present your explanation to the Auditor and annex it to this certificate].</i></p> <p>X. Personnel costs claimed do not contain any of the following ineligible costs: costs related to return on capital; debt and debt service charges; provisions for future losses</p>	<p>Procedure:</p> <p><i>The Auditor draws a sample of employees to carry out the procedures indicated in this section C and the following sections D to F.</i></p> <p><i>[The Auditor has drawn a random sample of 10 full-time equivalents made up of employees assigned to the action(s). If fewer than 10 full-time equivalents are assigned to the action(s), the Auditor has selected a sample of 10 full-time equivalents consisting of all employees assigned to the action(s), complemented by other employees irrespective of their assignments.]. For this sample:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ the Auditor reviewed all documents relating to personnel costs such as employment contracts, payslips, payroll policy (e.g. salary policy, overtime policy, variable pay policy), accounting and payroll records, applicable national tax , labour and social security law and any other documents corroborating the personnel costs claimed; ✓ in particular, the Auditor reviewed the employment contracts of the employees in the sample to verify that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. they were employed directly by the Beneficiary in accordance with applicable national legislation; ii. they were working under the sole technical supervision and responsibility of the latter; iii. they were remunerated in accordance with the Beneficiary's usual practices; iv. they were allocated to the correct group/category/cost centre for the purposes of calculating the unit cost in line with the Beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices; ✓ the Auditor verified that any ineligible items or any costs claimed under other costs categories or costs covered by other types of grant or by other grants financed from the European Union budget have not been taken

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Please explain any discrepancies in the body of the Report.	
Statements to be made by Beneficiary	Procedures to be carried out and Findings to be confirmed by the Auditor
<p>or debts; interest owed; doubtful debts; currency exchange losses; bank costs charged by the Beneficiary's bank for transfers from the Commission/Agency; excessive or reckless expenditure; deductible VAT or costs incurred during suspension of the implementation of the action.</p> <p>XI. Personnel costs were not declared under another EU or Euratom grant (including grants awarded by a Member State and financed by the EU budget and grants awarded by bodies other than the Commission/Agency for the purpose of implementing the EU budget).</p> <p><u>If additional remuneration as referred to in the grant agreement(s) is paid</u></p> <p>XII. The Beneficiary is a non-profit legal entity;</p> <p>XIII. The additional remuneration is part of the beneficiary's usual remuneration practices and paid consistently whenever the relevant work or expertise is required;</p> <p>XIV. The criteria used to calculate the additional remuneration are objective and generally applied regardless of the source of funding;</p> <p>XV. The additional remuneration included in the personnel costs used to calculate the hourly rates for the grant agreement(s) is capped at EUR 8 000 per full-time equivalent (reduced proportionately if the employee is not assigned exclusively to the action).</p> <p><u>If certain statement(s) of section "C. Personnel costs" cannot be endorsed by the Beneficiary they should be listed here below and reported as exception by the Auditor in the main Report of</u></p>	<p>into account when calculating the personnel costs;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ the Auditor numerically reconciled the total amount of personnel costs used to calculate the unit cost with the total amount of personnel costs recorded in the statutory accounts and the payroll system. ✓ to the extent that actual personnel costs were adjusted on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements, the Auditor carefully examined those elements and checked the information source to confirm that they correspond to objective and verifiable information; ✓ if additional remuneration has been claimed, the Auditor verified that the Beneficiary was a non-profit legal entity, that the amount was capped at EUR 8000 per full-time equivalent and that it was reduced proportionately for employees not assigned exclusively to the action(s). ✓ the Auditor recalculated the personnel costs for the employees in the sample. <p>Factual finding:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. All the components of the remuneration that have been claimed as personnel costs are supported by underlying documentation. 5. The employees in the sample were employed directly by the Beneficiary in accordance with applicable national law and were working under its sole supervision and responsibility. 6. Their employment contracts were in line with the Beneficiary's usual policy; 7. Personnel costs were duly documented and consisted solely of salaries, social security contributions (pension contributions, health insurance, unemployment fund contributions, etc.), taxes and other statutory costs included in the remuneration (holiday pay, thirteenth month's pay, etc.); 8. The totals used to calculate the personnel unit costs are consistent with those registered in the payroll and accounting records; 9. To the extent that actual personnel costs were adjusted on the basis of budgeted or estimated elements, those elements were

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

<i>Please explain any discrepancies in the body of the Report.</i>	
Statements to be made by Beneficiary	Procedures to be carried out and Findings to be confirmed by the Auditor
<p>Factual Findings:</p> <p>[...]</p>	<p>relevant for calculating the personnel costs and correspond to objective and verifiable information. The budgeted or estimated elements used are: — (indicate the elements and their values).</p> <p>10. Personnel costs contained no ineligible elements;</p> <p>11. Specific conditions for eligibility were fulfilled when additional remuneration was paid: a) the Beneficiary is registered in the grant agreements as a non-profit legal entity; b) it was paid according to objective criteria generally applied regardless of the source of funding used and c) remuneration was capped at EUR 8000 per full-time equivalent (or up to up to the equivalent pro-rata amount if the person did not work on the action full-time during the year or did not work exclusively on the action).</p>
<p>D. Productive hours</p> <p>XVI. The number of productive hours per full-time employee applied is <i>[delete as appropriate]</i>:</p> <p>A. 1720 productive hours per year for a person working full-time (corresponding pro-rata for persons not working full time).</p> <p>B. the total number of hours worked in the year by a person for the Beneficiary</p> <p>C. the standard number of annual hours generally applied by the beneficiary for its personnel in accordance with its usual cost accounting practices. This number must be at least 90% of the standard annual workable hours.</p> <p><u>If method B is applied</u></p> <p>XVII. The calculation of the total number of hours worked was done as follows: annual workable hours of the person according to the employment contract, applicable labour agreement or national law plus overtime worked minus absences (such as sick leave and special leave).</p> <p>XVIII. 'Annual workable hours' are hours</p>	<p>Procedure (same sample basis as for Section C: Personnel costs):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The Auditor verified that the number of productive hours applied is in accordance with method A, B or C. ✓ The Auditor checked that the number of productive hours per full-time employee is correct and that it is reduced proportionately for employees not exclusively assigned to the action(s). ✓ If method B is applied the Auditor verified i) the manner in which the total number of hours worked was done and ii) that the contract specified the annual workable hours by inspecting all the relevant documents, national legislation, labour agreements and contracts. ✓ If method C is applied the Auditor reviewed the manner in which the standard number of working hours per year has been calculated by inspecting all the relevant documents, national legislation, labour agreements and contracts and verified that the number of productive hours per year used for these calculations was at least 90% of the standard number of working hours per year.

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

<i>Please explain any discrepancies in the body of the Report.</i>	
Statements to be made by Beneficiary	Procedures to be carried out and Findings to be confirmed by the Auditor
<p>during which the personnel must be working, at the employer's disposal and carrying out his/her activity or duties under the employment contract, applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation.</p> <p>XIX. The contract (applicable collective labour agreement or national working time legislation) do specify the working time enabling to calculate the annual workable hours.</p> <p><u>If method C is applied</u></p> <p>XX. The standard number of productive hours per year is that of a full-time equivalent; for employees not assigned exclusively to the action(s) this number is reduced proportionately.</p> <p>XXI. The number of productive hours per year on which the hourly rate is based i) corresponds to the Beneficiary's usual accounting practices; ii) is at least 90% of the standard number of workable (working) hours per year.</p> <p>XXII. Standard workable (working) hours are hours during which personnel are at the Beneficiary's disposal performing the duties described in the relevant employment contract, collective labour agreement or national labour legislation. The number of standard annual workable (working) hours that the Beneficiary claims is supported by labour contracts, national legislation and other documentary evidence.</p> <p><i>[If certain statement(s) of section "D. Productive hours" cannot be endorsed by the Beneficiary they should be listed here below and reported as exception by the Auditor:</i></p> <p>- ...]</p>	<p>Factual finding:</p> <p><u>General</u></p> <p>12. The Beneficiary applied a number of productive hours consistent with method A, B or C detailed in the left-hand column.</p> <p>13. The number of productive hours per year per full-time employee was accurate and was proportionately reduced for employees not working full-time or exclusively for the action.</p> <p><u>If method B is applied</u></p> <p>14. The number of 'annual workable hours', overtime and absences was verifiable based on the documents provided by the Beneficiary and the calculation of the total number of hours worked was accurate.</p> <p>15. The contract specified the working time enabling to calculate the annual workable hours.</p> <p><u>If method C is applied</u></p> <p>16. The calculation of the number of productive hours per year corresponded to the usual costs accounting practice of the Beneficiary.</p> <p>17. The calculation of the standard number of workable (working) hours per year was corroborated by the documents presented by the Beneficiary.</p> <p>18. The number of productive hours per year used for the calculation of the hourly rate was at least 90% of the number of workable (working) hours per year.</p>
<p>E. Hourly rates</p> <p>The hourly rates are correct because:</p> <p>XXIII. Hourly rates are correctly calculated since they result from dividing annual personnel</p>	<p>Procedure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The Auditor has obtained a list of all personnel rates calculated by the Beneficiary in accordance with the methodology used. ✓ The Auditor has obtained a list of all the relevant employees, based on which the

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

Please explain any discrepancies in the body of the Report.	
Statements to be made by Beneficiary	Procedures to be carried out and Findings to be confirmed by the Auditor
<p>costs by the productive hours of a given year and group (e.g. staff category or department or cost centre depending on the methodology applied) and they are in line with the statements made in section C. and D. above.</p> <p><i>[If the statement of section 'E. Hourly rates' cannot be endorsed by the Beneficiary they should be listed here below and reported as exception by the Auditor:</i></p> <p>- ...]</p>	<p>personnel rate(s) are calculated.</p> <p>For 10 full-time equivalent employees selected at random (same sample basis as Section C: Personnel costs):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The Auditor recalculated the hourly rates. ✓ The Auditor verified that the methodology applied corresponds to the usual accounting practices of the organisation and is applied consistently for all activities of the organisation on the basis of objective criteria irrespective of the source of funding. <p>Factual finding:</p> <p>19. No differences arose from the recalculation of the hourly rate for the employees included in the sample.</p>
<p>F. Time recording</p> <p>XXIV. Time recording is in place for all persons with no exclusive dedication to one Horizon 2020 action. At least all hours worked in connection with the grant agreement(s) are registered on a daily/weekly/monthly basis <i>[delete as appropriate]</i> using a paper/computer-based system <i>[delete as appropriate]</i>;</p> <p>XXV. For persons exclusively assigned to one Horizon 2020 activity the Beneficiary has either signed a declaration to that effect or has put arrangements in place to record their working time;</p> <p>XXVI. Records of time worked have been signed by the person concerned (on paper or electronically) and approved by the action manager or line manager at least monthly;</p> <p>XXVII. Measures are in place to prevent staff from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. recording the same hours twice, ii. recording working hours during absence periods (e.g. holidays, sick leave), iii. recording more than the number of productive hours per year used to calculate the hourly rates, and 	<p>Procedure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The Auditor reviewed the brief description, all relevant manuals and/or internal guidance describing the methodology used to record time. <p>The Auditor reviewed the time records of the random sample of 10 full-time equivalents referred to under Section C: Personnel costs, and verified in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ that time records were available for all persons with not exclusive assignment to the action; ✓ that time records were available for persons working exclusively for a Horizon 2020 action, or, alternatively, that a declaration signed by the Beneficiary was available for them certifying that they were working exclusively for a Horizon 2020 action; ✓ that time records were signed and approved in due time and that all minimum requirements were fulfilled; ✓ that the persons worked for the action in the periods claimed; ✓ that no more hours were claimed than the productive hours used to calculate the hourly

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

<i>Please explain any discrepancies in the body of the Report.</i>	
Statements to be made by Beneficiary	Procedures to be carried out and Findings to be confirmed by the Auditor
<p>iv. recording hours worked outside the action period.</p> <p>XXVIII. No working time was recorded outside the action period;</p> <p>XXIX. No more hours were claimed than the productive hours used to calculate the hourly personnel rates.</p> <p><i>[Please provide a brief description of the <u>time recording system</u> in place together with the measures applied to ensure its reliability to the Auditor and annex it to the present certificate⁴].</i></p> <p><i>[If certain statement(s) of section "F. Time recording" cannot be endorsed by the Beneficiary they should be listed here below and reported as exception by the Auditor:</i></p> <p>- ...]</p>	<p>personnel rates;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ that internal controls were in place to prevent that time is recorded twice, during absences for holidays or sick leave; that more hours are claimed per person per year for Horizon 2020 actions than the number of productive hours per year used to calculate the hourly rates; that working time is recorded outside the action period; ✓ the Auditor cross-checked the information with human-resources records to verify consistency and to ensure that the internal controls have been effective. In addition, the Auditor has verified that no more hours were charged to Horizon 2020 actions per person per year than the number of productive hours per year used to calculate the hourly rates, and verified that no time worked outside the action period was charged to the action. <p>Factual finding:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 20. The brief description, manuals and/or internal guidance on time recording provided by the Beneficiary were consistent with management reports/records and other documents reviewed and were generally applied by the Beneficiary to produce the financial statements. 21. For the random sample time was recorded or, in the case of employees working exclusively for the action, either a signed declaration or time records were available; 22. For the random sample the time records were signed by the employee and the action manager/line manager, at least monthly. 23. Working time claimed for the action occurred in the periods claimed; 24. No more hours were claimed than the number productive hours used to calculate the hourly

⁴ The description of the time recording system must state among others information on the content of the time records, its coverage (full or action time-recording, for all personnel or only for personnel involved in H2020 actions), its degree of detail (whether there is a reference to the particular tasks accomplished), its form, periodicity of the time registration and authorisation (paper or a computer-based system; on a daily, weekly or monthly basis; signed and countersigned by whom), controls applied to prevent double-charging of time or ensure consistency with HR-records such as absences and travels as well as its information flow up to its use for the preparation of the Financial Statements.

H2020 Model Grant Agreements: H2020 General MGA — Multi: September 2014

<i>Please explain any discrepancies in the body of the Report.</i>	
Statements to be made by Beneficiary	Procedures to be carried out and Findings to be confirmed by the Auditor
	<p>personnel rates;</p> <p>25. There is proof that the Beneficiary has checked that working time has not been claimed twice, that it is consistent with absence records and the number of productive hours per year, and that no working time has been claimed outside the action period.</p> <p>26. Working time claimed is consistent with that on record at the human-resources department.</p>

[official name of the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]]

[official name of the Auditor]

[name and title of authorised representative]


[name and title of authorised representative]

[dd Month yyyy]

[dd Month yyyy]

<Signature of the [Beneficiary] [Linked Third Party]>

<Signature of the Auditor>



This document is digitally sealed. The digital sealing mechanism uniquely binds the document to the modules of the Participant Portal of the European Commission, to the transaction for which it was generated and ensures its integrity and authenticity.

Any attempt to modify the content will lead to a breach of the electronic seal, which can be verified at any time by clicking on the digital seal validation symbol.

